

# DESCRIPTIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY LABORATORY



The Bancroft Library

University of California • Berkeley

B Swett's Hic Wer est meces Che Lested 19 51 1. et quilibrate in 19 Der coller is find les James Sterrety book: September 12th 1836. - 10 lb G 18.18



### SHORT

### INTRODUCTION

TO

English

### GRAMMAR.

WITH

### Critical Rotes.

BY THE

Right Rev. ROBERT LOWTH, D. D.

Lord Bishop of Oxford.

#### PHILADELPHIA:

PRINTED BY R. AITKEN, No. 22, MARKET STREET.

1799.

Price Three Shillings.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Nam ipsum Latine loqui, est illud quidem in magna laude ponendum; sed non tam sua sponte, quam quod est a plerisque neglectum. Non enim tam præclarum est scire Latine, quam turpe nescire; neque tam id mihi oratoris boni, quam civis Romani, proprium videtur."

# AVELOOUGÍON

# GRAMMAR R

----

# Critical Police.

Right Lev. ROLLER FOWTE, D. 7.

e a in the tapper of the factor of the control of t

THE CHILDING

1709.

Prite " at Shippy ...

#### THE

### PREFACE.

THE English language hath been much cultivated during the last two hundred years. It hath been considerably polished and refined; its bounds have been greatly enlarged; its energy, variety, richness and elegance, have been abundantly proved, by numberless trials, in verse and in prose, upon all subjects, and in every kind of style: but, whatever other improvements it may have received, it hath made no advances in grammatical accuracy. Hooker is one of the earliest writers, of considerable note, within the period above mentioned: Let his writings be compared with the best of those of more modern date; and, I believe, it will be found, that, in correctness, propriety and purity of English style, he hath hardly been surpassed, or even equalled, by any of his successors.

It is now about fifty years, fince Dr. Swift made a public remonstrance, addressed to the Earl of Oxford, then Lord Treasurer, concerning the impersed state of our language; alledging in particular, "that in many instances it offended against every part of grammar."

Swift must be allowed to have been a good judge of this matter; to which he was himself very attentive, both in his own writings, and in his remarks upon those of his friends: He is one of the most correct, and perhaps the best, of our prose writers. Indeed the justness of this complaint, as far as I can find, hath never yet been questioned; and yet no effectual method hath hitherto been taken to redress the grievance which was the object of it.

But let us consider, how, and in what extent, we are to understand this charge brought against the English language: for the author seems not to have explained himself with sufficient clearness and precision on this head. Does it mean that the English language, as it is spoken by the politest part of the nation, and as it stands in the writings of the most approved authors, often offends against every part of grammar? Thus far, I am afraid, the charge is true. Or does it further imply, that our language is in its nature irregular and capricious; not hitherto subject, nor easily reducible, to a system of rules? In this respect, I am persuaded, the charge is wholly without foundation.

The English language is perhaps of all the present European languages by much the most simple in its form and construction. Of all the ancient languages extant that is the most simple, which is undoubtedly the most ancient; but even that language itself does not equal the English in simplicity.

The words of the English language are perhaps subject to fewer variations from their original form, than. those of any other. Its substantives have but one variation of case; nor have they any distinction of gender, beside that which nature bath made. Its adjectives admit of no change at all, except that which expresses the degrees of comparison. All the possible variations of the original form of the verb are not above fix or feven; whereas in many languages they amount to fome hundreds, and almost the whole business of modes, times, and voices, is managed with great ease by the assistance. of eight or nine commodious little, verbs, called from their use auxiliaries. The construction of this language is fo eafy and obvious, that our grammarians have thought it hardly worth while to give us any thing like a regular and fystematical fyntax. The English Grammar which hath been last presented to the public, and by the person best qualified to have given us a persect. one, comprifes the whole Syntax in ten lines: For this reason; "because our language has so little inflexion, " that its construction neither requires nor admits maor ny rules." In truth, the easier any subject is in its own nature, the harder is it to make it more easy by explanation; and nothing is more unnecessary, and at the fame commonly more difficult, than to monstration. in form of a proposition almost felf-evident.

It doth not then proceed from any peculiar irregularity or difficulty of our language, that the general practice both of fpeaking and writing it is chargeable with inaccuracy. It is not the language, but the practice:

that is in fault. The truth is, grammar is very much neglected among us: and it is not the difficulty of the language, but on the contrary the simplicity and facility of it, that occasions this neglect. Were the language less easy and simple, we should find ourselves under a necessity of studying it with more care and attention. But as it is, we take it for granted, that we have a competent knowledge and skill, and are able to acquit ourselves properly, in our own native tongue; a faculty, folely acquired by use, conducted by habit, and tried by the ear, carries us on without reflection; we meet with no rubs or difficulties in our way, or we do not perceive them; we find ourselves able to go on without rules, and we do not so much as suspect, that we stand in need of them. OV TOTAL TOTAL

A grammatical study of our own language makes no part of the ordinary method of instruction, which we pass through in our childhood; and it is very seldom we apply ourselves to it afterward. Yet the want of it will not be effectually supplied by any other advantages whatsoever. Much practice in the polite world, and a general acquaintance with the best authors, are good helps; but alone will hardly be fufficient: We have writers, who have enjoyed these advantages in their full extent, and yet cannot be recommended as models of an accurate style. Much less then will, what is commonly called learning, serve the purpose; that is, a critical knowledge of ancient languages, and much reading of ancient authors: The greatest critic and most able grammarian of the last age, when he came to apply his learning and criticism criticilm to an English author, was frequently at a loss in matters of ordinary use and common construction in his own verhacular idiom.

But perhaps the notes subjoined to the following pages will furnish a more convincing argument, than any thing that can be faid here, both of the truth of the charge of inaccuracy brought against our language. as it subsists in practice; and of the necessity of investigating the principles of it, and studying it grammatically, if we would attain to a due degree of skill in it. It is with reason expected of every person of a liberal education, and it is indispensably required of every one who undertakes to inform or entertain the public, that he should be able to express himself with propriety and accuracy. It will evidently appear from these notes, that our best authors have committed gross mistakes, for want of a due knowledge of English grammar, or at least of a proper attention to the rules of it. The examples there given are fuch as occurred in reading, without any very curious or methodical examination; and they might easily have been much increased in number by any one, who had leifure or phlegm enough to go through a regular course of reading with this particular view. However, I believe, they may be fufficient to answer the purpose intended; to evince the neceffity of the study of grammar in our own language; and to admonish those, who set up for authors among us, that they would do well to confider this part of learning as an object not altogether beneath their regard.

and the second second to the

The principal design of a grammar of any language is to teach us to express ourselves with propriety in that language; and to enable us to judge of every phrase and form of construction, whether it be right or not. The plain way of doing this is, to lay down rules, and to illustrate them by examples. But, besides shewing what is right, the matter may be surther explained by pointing out what is wrong. I will not take upon me to say, whether we have any Grammar that sufficiently instructs us by rule and example; but I am sure we have none, that in the manner here attempted, teaches us what is right, by shewing what is wrong; though this perhaps may prove the more useful and effectual method of instruction.

Beside this principal design of Grammar in our own language, there is a fecondary use, to which it may be applied; and which, I think, is not attended to as it deferves: the facilitating of the acquisition of other languages, whether ancient or modern. A good foundation in the general principles of grammar, is in the first place necessary for all those who are initiated in a learned education; and for all others likewife, who shall have occasion to furnish themselves with the knowledge of modern languages. Univerfal Grammar cannot be taught abstractedly, it must be done with reference to fome language already known; in which the terms are to be explained, and the rules exemplified. The learner is supposed to be unacquainted with all, but his native tongue; and in what other, confisent with reafon and common fense, can you go about to explain it

to him? When he has a competent knowledge of the main principles of grammar in general, exemplified in his own language; he then will apply himself with great advantage to the fludy of any other. To enter at once upon the science of grammar, and the study of a foreign language, is to encounter two difficulties together, each of which would be much leffened by being taken feparately, and in its proper order. For these plain reasons, a competent grammatical knowledge, is the true foundation, upon which all literature, properly fo called, ought to be raifed. If this method were adapted in our schools, if children were first taught the common principles of grammar, by fome thort and clear lystem of English Grammar, which happily by its simplicity and facility, is perhaps fitter than that of any other language for fuch a purpose; they would have some notion of what they were going about, when they hould enter into the Latin Grammar; and would hardly be engaged fo many years as they now are, in that most irkfome and difficult part of literature, with fo much labour of the memory, and with so little assistance of the uncaul a to cerece f veral magnifinal

A delign somewhat of this kind, gave occasion to, the following little system, intended merely for a private and domestic use. The chief end of it was to explain the general principles of grammar, as clearly and intelligibly as possible. In the definitions, therefore, easiness and perspiculty, have been sometimes preferred to logical exactness. The common divisions have been complied with, as far as reason and truth would

would permit. The known and received terms have been retained; except in one or two instances, where others offered themselves, which seemed much more significant. All disquisitions which appeared to have more of subtilty, than of usefulness in them, have been avoided. In a word, it was calculated for the use of the learner, even of the lowest class. Those, who would enter more deeply into this subject, will find it sully and accurately handled, with the greatest accurances of investigation, perspicuity of explication, and elegance of method, in a treatise entitled Hermes, by James Harris, Esq. the most beautiful and perfect example of analysis, that has been exhibited since the days of Aristotle.

The author is greatly obliged to feveral learned gentlemen, who have favored him with their remarks upon the first edition; which was indeed principally defigned to procure their affiftance, and to try the judgment of the public. He hath endeavored to weigh their observations, without prejudice or partiality; and to make the best use of the lights which they have afforded him. He hath been enabled to correct feveral mistakes, and encouraged carefully to revife the whole and to give it all the improvement which his prefent materials can furnish. He hopes for the continuance of their favor, as he is fensible there will still be abundant occasion for it. A fystem of this kind, arising from the collection. and arrangement of a multitude of minute particulars, which often elude the most careful fearch, and sometimes escape observation, when they are most obvious,

must always stand in need of improvement. It is indeed the necessary condition of every work of human art of science, small as well as great, to advance towards persection by slow degrees; by an 'approximation, which, though it still may carry it forward, yet will certainly never bring it to the point to which it tends.

must always stand in need of injunctions. It is indeed the necessary condition of every work of human
a tof science, finall as well as great, to advance tou mis perfection by flow degrees; by an appreximation, which, though it shill may easy it forward, yet
will certainly never laing it to the point to which is
read.

the state of the s

# SHORT

### INTRODUCTION

# English Gramma

### מרגוניו לישיו, ל פי ייור וגי ווליינים ולי GRAMMAR.

Service of the servic

to all at the minutes the RAMMAR is the art of rightly expressing y our thoughts by words.

Grammar in general, or universal grammar, explains the principles, which are common to all languages.

The grammar of any particular language, as the English Grammar, applies those common principles to that particular language, according to the established usage or custom of it.

Grammar treats of fentences; and of the feveral parts of which they are compounded.

Sentences confift of words; words, of one or more fyllables; fyllables, of one or more letters. B it Las, bill y reso

So that letters, fyllables, words, and fentences, make up the whole subject of grammar.

### LETTERS.

A Letter is the first principal, or least part, of a word.

An articulate found is the found of the human

voice, formed by the organs of speech.

A vowel is a simple articulate sound, formed by the impulse of the voice, and by the opening only of the mouth in a particular manner.

A consonant cannot be perfectly sounded by itself; but joined with a vowel forms a compound articulate sound, by a particular motion or contact

of parts of the mouth.

A diphthong, or compound vowel, is the union of two or more vowels pronounced by a fingle impulse of the voice.

In English there are twenty-six letters:

A, a; B, b; C, c; D, d; E, e; F, f; G, g; H, h; I, i; J, j; K, k; L, l; M, m; N, n; O, o; P, p; Q, q; R, r; S, f; T, t; U, u;

V, v; W, w; X, x; Y, y; Z, z.

ing the found of the foft g, and the latter that of a coarfer f; they are therefore entirely different from the vowels i and u, and distinct letters of themselves; they ought also to be distinguished from them, each by a peculiar name; the former may be called ja, and the latter vee.

The names then of the twenty-fix letters will be as follows: a, bee, cee, dee, e, eff, gee, aitch i, ja, ka, el, em, en, o, pee, cue, ar, efs, tee, u, vee, double u, eu, ex, y, zad.

Six of the letters are vowels, and may be

founded by themselves; a, e, i, o, u, y.

E is generally filent at the end of a word; but it has its effect in lengthening the preceding vowel, as bid, bide: and fometimes likewife in the middle of a word; as, ungrateful retirement. Sometimes it has no other effect, than that of foftening a preceding g; as, lodge, judge, judgment; for which purpose it is quite necessary in these and the like words.

Y is in found wholly the fame with i; and is written instead of it at the end of words; or before i, as flying, denying; it is retained likewish in some words derived from the Greek; and it is always a vowel [1].

De W is either a vowel or a diphthong: its proper found is the same as the Italian u, the French ou, or the English oo: after o it is sometimes not

founded at all; fometimes like a fingle u.

The

<sup>[1]</sup> The fame found which we express by the initial y, our Saxon ancestors in many instances expressed by the vowel e; as eower, your: and by the vowel i; as iw, yew; iong, young. In the words view, the initial y has precisely the same sound with i in the words view, lieu, adieu: the i is acknowledged to be a vowel in these latter; how then can the y which has the very same sound, possibly be a consonant in the former? Its initial sound is generally like that of i in shire, or ex nearly; it is formed by the opening of the mouth, without any motion or contact of the parts; in a word, it has every property of a vowel, and not one of a conformat.

The rest of the letters are consonants; which cannot be sounded alone: some not at all, and these are called Mutes; b, c, d, g, k, p, q, t others very imperfectly, making a kind of obscure sound, and these are called Semi-vowels, or Half-vowels, l, m, n, r, f, s; the first sour of which are also distinguished by the name of Liquids.

The mutes and the femi-vowels are distinguished by their names in the alphabet; those of the former all beginning with a consonant, bee, cee, &c. those of the latter all beginning with a vowel, ef, el, &c.

X is a double confonant, compounded of c, or k, and s.

Z feems not to be a double conforant in English, as it is commonly supposed; it has the same relation to s, as v has to f, being a thicker and coarser expression of it.

H is only an afpiration or breathing; and sometimes at the beginning of a word is not sounded

at all; as, an hour, an honest man. .

C is pronounced like k, before a, o, u; and foft, like s, before e, i, y: in like manner g is pronounced always hard before a, o, u; fometimes hard and fometimes foft before i, and y, and for the most part soft before e.

The English alphabet, like most others, is both deficient and redundant; in some cases the same letters expressing different sounds, and different

letters-expressing the same sounds.

SYLLABLES.

#### SYLLABLES.

Syllable is a found either fimple or compounded, pronounced by a fingle impulse of the voice, and constituting a word or part of a word.

Spelling is the art of reading by naming the letters fingly, and rightly dividing words into their fyllables. Or, in writing, it is the expressing

of a word by its proper letters.

In spelling, a syllable in the beginning or middle of a word ends in a vowel, unless it be sollowed by x, or by two or more consonants; these are for the most part to be separated; and at least one of them always belongs to the preceding syllable, when the vowel of that syllable is pronounced short. Particles, in composition, though sollowed by a vowel, generally remain undivided in spelling. A mute generally unites with a liquid sollowing; and a liquid or a mute, generally separates from a mute sollowing: le and reare never separated from a preceding mute. Examples: ma-ni-fest, ex-e-crable, un-e-qual, missas-ply, distin-guish, cor-re-sponding.

But the best and easiest rule, for dividing the syllables in spelling, is to divide them as they are naturally divided in a right pronounciation; without regard to the derivation of words, or the possible combination of consonants at the

beginnig of a fyllable.

#### WORDS.

ORDS are articulate founds, used by common consent, as signs of ideas or notions.

There are in English, nine forts of words, or, as they are commonly called, Parts of Speech.

- 1. The ARTICLE; prefixed to substantives, when they are common names of things, to point them out, and to shew, how far their signification extends.
- 2. The Substantive, or Noun; being the name of any thing conceived to subsist, or of which we have any notion.
  - 3. The Pronoun; standing instead of the noun.
- 4. The ADJECTIVE; added to the noun to express the quality of it.

5. The VERB or Word, by way of eminence;

fignifying to be, to do, or to fuffer.

6. The Advers; added to verbs, and also to adjectives and other adverbs, to express some circumstance belonging to them.

7. The Preposition; put before nouns and pronouns chiefly, to connect them with other words, and to shew their relation to those words.

8. The Conjunction; connecting fentences

together.

9. The Interjection; thrown in to express the affection of the speaker, though unnecessary with respect to the construction of the sentence.

EXAMPLE

#### EXAMPLE

The power of speech is a faculty peculiar 7 2 8 5 5 7 3 7 3 to man, and was bestowed on him by his 4 2 7 1 4 8 6 beneficent Creator for the greatest and most 4 2 8 9 6 6 5 3 excellent uses; but alas! how often do we 5 3 7 1 4 7 2 pervert it to the worst of purposes?

In the foregoing sentence, the words the, a, are articles; power, speech, faculty, man, creator, uses, purposes, are substantives; him, his, we, it, are pronouns; peculiar, beneficent, greatest, excellent, worst, are adjectives; is, was, bestowed, do, pervert, are verbs; most, how, often, are adverbs; of, to, on, by, for, are prepositions; and, but, are conjunctions; and alas, is an interjection.

The substantives, power, speech, faculty, and the rest, are general or common names of things; whereof there are many sorts belonging to the same kind, or many individuals belonging to the same fort; as there are many sorts of power, many sorts of speech, many sorts of faculty, many individuals of that fort of animal called man; and so on. These general or common names are here applied in a more or less extensive signification, according

according as they are used without either, or with the one, or with the other: of the two articles a and the. The words speech, man, being accompanied with no article, are taken in their largest extent, and fignify all of the kind or fort; all forts of speech, and all men. The word faculty, with the article a before it, is used in a more confined fignification, for fome one out of many of that kind: for it is here implied, that there are other faculties peculiar to man, besides speech. The words power, creator, uses, purposes, with the article the before them, (for his creator is the same, as the creator of kim,) are used in the most confined fignification, for the things here mentioned and and afcertained; the power is not any one indeterminate power out of many forts, but that particular fort of power here specified; namely, the power of speech: the creator is the one great creator of man and of all things; the uses and the purpofes, are particular uses and purposes; the former are explained to be those in particular, that are the greatest and most excellent; such, for instance, as the glory of God, and the common benefit of mankind; the latter to be the worst; as lying, flandering, blaspheming, and the like.

The pronouns him, his, we, it, stand instead of some of the nouns, or substantives going before them; as, him supplies the place of man; his, of man's; we, of men, (implied in the general name of man, including all men, of which number is

the

the speaker;) it of the power, before mentioned. If, instead of these pronouns, the nouns for which they stand had been used, the sense would have been the same but the frequent repetition of the same words would have been disagreeable and tedious; as, the power of speech, peculiar to man, bestowed on man, by man's creator, &c.

The adjectives peculiar, beneficent, greatest, excellent, worst, are added to their several substantives, to denote the character and quality of each.

The verbs is, was, beforved, do, pervert, fignify feverally, being, fuffering and doing. By the first it is implied, that there is such a thing as the power of speech, and it is affirmed to be of such a kind; namely, a faculty peculiar to man: by the second, it is said to have been acted upon, or to have suffered, or to have had something done to it; namely, to have been bestowed on man; by the last, we are said to act upon it, or to do something to it; namely, to pervert it.

The adverbs most, often, are added to the adjective excellent, and to the verb pervert, to shew the circumstance belonging to them; namely, that of the highest degree to the former, and that of frequency to the latter, concerning the degree of which frequency, also a question is made, by the adverb how added to

the adverb often.

The prepositions of, to, on, by, for, placed before the substantives and pronouns, speech, man,

man, bim, &c. connect them with other words, fubstantives, adjectives and verbs, as power, peculiar, bestowed, &c. and shew the relation which they have to those words; as the relation of subject, object, agent, end, for denoting the end, by the agent, on the object; to and of denote possession, or the belonging of one thing to another.

The conjunctions, and, and but, connect the three parts of the fentence together; the first more closely, both with regard to the fentence and the fense; the fecond connecting the parts of the sentence, though less strictly, and at the same time expressing an opposition in the sense.

The interjection, alar sexpresses the concern and regret of the speaker; and though thrown in with propriety, yet might have been omitted, without injuring the construction of the sentence, or destroying the sense.

### ARTICLE.

HE ARTICLE is a word prefixed to substantives, to point them out, and to shew how far their fignification extends.

In English there are but two articles, a, and the: a becomes an before a vowel, y and w [2] excepted;

<sup>[2]</sup> The pronunciation of y-or w, as a part of a diphthong at the beginning of a word, requires such an effort in the conformation of the parts of the mouth, as does not easily admit of the article

excepted; and before a filent b preceding a vowel. the little and all best o co signo

A is used in a vague sense to point out one fingle thing of the kind, in other respects indeterminate: the determines what particular thing is meaned.

A fubstantive without any article to limit it, is taken in its widest fense: thus man means all mankind; as, the same as the same as the same

"The proper study of mankind is man."

Where mankind and man may change places, without making any alteration in the fense. A man means fome one or other of that kind, indefinitely; the man means, definitively, that particular man who is spoken of: the former therefore is called the Indefinite, the latter the Definite article [3.] Example:

an before them. In other cases the article an in a manner coalesces with the vowel which it precedes; in this, the effort of pronunciation separates the article, and prevents the disagreeable consequence of a fensible hiatus.

[3] " And I persecuted this way unto the death." Acts xxii. 4. The apostle does not mean any particular fort of death, but death in general: the definite article therefore is improperly-used. It ought to be unto death, without any article, agreeably to the original. See also 2 Chron. xxxii. 24.

" When He, the Spirit of truth is come, he will guide you into

all truth," John xvi. 13. That is, according to this translation, into all truth whatsoever, into truth of all kinds: very different from the meaning of the Evangelist, and from the original, into all truth; that is, into all evangelical truth.

"Truly, this was the Son of God," Mat. xxvii. 54. and Mark xv. 39. This translation supposes that the Roman centurion had a proper and adequate notion of the character of Jesus, as the Son of God in a peculiar and incommunicable sense: Whereas, it is

probable

Example: "man was made for society, and ought to extend his good will to all men; but a man will naturally entertain a more particular kindness for the men, with whom he has the most frequent intercourse; and enter into a still closer union with the man, whose temper and disposition suits best with his own."

It is of the nature of both the articles to determine or limit the thing spoken of la determines it to be one single thing of the kind, leaving

probable both from the circumstances of the history, and from the expression of the original; (a son of God, or of a God, not the Son) that he only meaned to acknowledge him to be an extraordinary person, and more than a mere man; according to his
own notion of Sons of Gods, in the Pagan theology. This is also
more agreeable to St. Luke's account of the same consession of the
centurion. Certainly this was a righteous man;" not the Just
One. The same may be otherved of Nebirchadnezzar's words,
Dan, iii. 25.—" And the form of the fourth is like the son of
God;" it ought to be expressed by the indefinite article, like a
Son of God, as Theodotian very properly renders it: that is, like
an angel; according to Nebuchadnezzar's own account of it in
the 28th verse: "Blessed be God, who hath sent his angel, and
delivered his servants." See also Luke xix. 9.

"Who breaks a butterfly upon a wheel?" POPE.

It ought to be, the wheel; ufed as an inftrument for the particular

purpose of torturing criminals: as Shakespear,

"Let them pull all about mine ears; prefent me

"God Almighty hath given reason to a man to be a light unto him." Hobbes, Elements of Law, Part I. chap. v. 12. It should

rather be, to man in general.

These remarks may serve to shew the great importance of the proper use of the article, the near affinity there is between the Greek article and the English definite article, and the excellence of the English language in this respect, which by means of its two articles does most precisely determine the extent of signification of common names; whereas the Greek has only one article, and it has puzzled all the grammarians to reduce the use of that, to any other and certain rules.

leaving it still uncertain which; the determines which it is, or, of many, which they are. The first therefore can only be joined to substantives in the singular number [4]; the last may also be joined to plurals.

There is a remarkable exception to this rule, in the use of the adjectives few and many, (the latter chiefly with the word great before it,) which, though joined with plural substantives, yet admit of the singular article as as a few men, a great many men:

" Told of a many thousand warlike French;"-

" A care-craz'd mother of a many children.".

Shakespear.

The reason of it is manifest from the effect, which the article has in these phrases; it means a small or great number collectively taken, and therefore gives the idea of a whole, that is, of unity. [5] Thus likewise a bundred, a thousand,

[4] A good character faculd not be refled in as an end, but employed as a means of doing fill further good." Atter. Sern. II, 3. Ought it not to be a mean? "I have read an author of this tafte, that compares a ragged coin to a tattered colours."—Addition, Dial. 1. on meda's.

[5] Thus the word many is taken collectively as a substantive.

"O thou fond many! with what loud applause
Did'st thou beat Heav'n with blessing Bolingbroke,
Before he was what thou would'st have him be?"

Shakespeare, 2 Hen. IV.

But it will be hard to reconcile to any grammatical propriety the following phrase: Many one there be, that say of my foul. There is no help for him in his God."

Plal. iii. 2.

" How many a meffage would he fend?"

"" He would fend many a meffage," is right: but the question bow feems to destroy the unity, or collective nature of the idea; and therefore it ought to have been expressed, if the measure would have allowed of it, without the article, in the plural number, "how many messages." is one whole number, an aggregate of many collectively taken; and therefore still retains the article a, though joined as an adjective to a plural substantive; as, a bundred years. [6]

"For harbor at a thousand doors they knock'd;"
Not one of all the thousand, but was lock'd."

Dryden.

The definitive article the is formationes applied to adverbs in the comparative and superlative degree; and its effect is to mark the degree the more strongly, and to define it the more precisely: as, The more I examine it, the better I like it. I like this the least of any."

### SUBSTANTIVE.

053

A SUBSTANTIVE, or Noun, is the name of a thing; of whatever we conceive in any way to subset, or of which we have any notion.

Substantives are of two forts, proper and common names. Proper names are the names appropriated to individuals; as the names of persons

<sup>[6] &</sup>quot;There were flain of them upon a three thousand men?" that is, to the number of three thousand. "Mac. iv. 15. "About an eight days;" that is, a space of eight days. Luke ix. 28. But the expression is obsolete, or at least vulgar; and we may add likewse, improper; for neither of these numbers has been reduced by the and convenience into one collective and compactidea, like a bundred and a thousand; each of which, like a dozen or a force, we are accustomed equally to consider on certain occasions as a simple unity.

persons and places, such as George, London. Common names stand for kinds, containing many forts: or for forts, containing many individuals under them; as, Animal, Man. And these common names, whether of kinds or forts, are applied to express individuals, by the help of articles added to them, as hath been already shewn; and by the help of definitive pronouns, as we shall see hereafter.

Proper names being the names of individuals, and therefore of things already as determinate as they can be made, admit not of articles, or of plurality of number; unlefs by a figure, or by accident; as, when great conquerors are called Alexanders, and some great conqueror, an Alexander, or the Alexander of his age; when a common name is understood, as the Thames, that is, the river Thames; the George, that is the fign of St. George; or when it happens, that there are many persons of the same name, as the two Scipios.

Whatever is fpoken of, is represented as one, or more, in number; these two manners of representation in respect of number, are called the

fingular, and the plural number.

In English, the substantive singular is made plural, for the most part, by adding to it s; or es, where it is necessary for the pronunciation: as king, kings; fox, fones; leaf, leaves; in which last, and many others, f is also changed into v, for the sake of an easier pronunciation and more agreeable sound.

Some few plurals end in en: as owen, children, brethren, and men, women, by changing the a of the fingular into e. [7] This form we have retained from the Teutonic; as likewise the introduction of the e in the former syllable of two of the last instances; weomen, (for so we pronounce it,) brethren, from woman, brother: [8] something like which, may be noted in some other forms of plurals; as mouse, mice; louse, lice; tooth, teeth; foot, feet; goose, geese. [9]

The words sheep, deer, are the same in both

numbers.

Some nouns from the nature of the things which they express, are used only in the singular others only in the plural form: as wheat, pitch, gold, sisth, pride, &c. and bellows, scissars, lungs, bowels, &c.

The English language, to express different connections and relations of one thing to another, uses for the most part prepositions. The Greek and Latin among the antients, and some too among the modern languages, as the German, vary the termination or ending of the substantive, to answer the same purpose. These different endings, are in

[7] And autiently, eyen, foren boufen bofin; to likewife antiently fracen, couten, now always pronounced and written fwine, line.

[9] These are directly from the Saxon; mus, mys; lus, lys; toth,

teth; fut, fet; gos, ges.

<sup>[3]</sup> In the German, the vowels a, o, u, of monofyllal le nouns, are generally in the plural changed into diphthongs with an c: as der band, the hand die bande; der but, the hat; die bute; der knopff, the button (or knop) die knopffe, &c.

in those languages called cases. And the English, being derived from the same origin as the German, that is, from the Teutonic, [1] is not wholly without them. For instance, the relation of possession, or belonging, is often expressed by a case, or a different ending of the fubitantive .- This case anfwers to the genitive case in Latin, and may still be fo called; though perhaps more properly tho possessive cafe : thus, "God's grace;" which may also be expressed by the prepetition, as "the gracer of God?" It was formarly written, "Godis grace;" we now always shorten it with an Apostrophe; often very improperly, when we are obliged to pronunce it fully ; as, "Thomas's book," that is, Thomasis book," not "Thomas his book," as it is commonly supposed [2].

When the thing, to which another is faid to belong, is expressed by a circumlocution, or by many terms, the fign of the possessive case is com-

monly.

[17] " Lingua Angiorum, hodierna avice Saxonice formam is plerifque orationis partibus etiamnum retiret. Nam quoad particulas cafuales, quorundans cafuum terminationes, conjugationed verborum, verbum fabstantivum, fermam passivæ volis, pronomina, participia, conjunctiones, et præpolitiones ontnes; denique, quoad idiomata, parafiemque maximam partem, ctiam nune Saxonicus est Anglorum ferme. Hickes, Thefaur, Ling. Septent. Præf. p. vi. To which may be added the degrees of comparison,

the form of which is the very fame in the English as in the Saxon.

[2] "Chrish bir fake," in our liturgy is a mistake, either of the printers, or of the compilers. "Nevertheless, Asa bir heart was perfect with the Lord." I Kings, xv. 14. "To see whether Mordecar his matters would stand." Esther, iii. 4.

" Where is this mankind now? who lives to age

Fit to be made Methufalem bis page?" "By young Telemachus his blooming years," Pope's Odyffey .- monly added to the last term; as, "The king of Great Britain's foldiers." When it is a noun ending in s, the fign of the possessive case, is sometimes not added; as, "for righteousness' fake; [2] nor ever to the plural number ending in s: as. "on eagles, wings." [4] Both the fign and the preposition seem sometimes to be used; "a foldier of the king's;" but here are really two possessives; for it means, "one of the foldiers of the king."

The English in its substantives has but two different terminations for cases; that of the nominative, which simply expresses the name of the

thing, and that of the possessive case.

Things

"My paper is the Ulysse his bow, in which every man of wit or learning may try his strength." Addison, Guardian, No 98. This is no slip of Mr. Addison's pen; he gives us his opinion upon this point very explicitly in another place. "The fame fingle Letter (s) on many occasions, does the office of the whole word, and reprefents the bis and ber of our forefathers." Addifon, Spect. No 135. The latter inflance might have flewn him, how groundless this notion is, for it is not easy to conceive, how the letter sadded to a feminine noun should represent the word ber, any more than it should the word their, added to a plural noun; as, the children's bread; but the direct derivation of this case, from the Saxon genitive case, is sufficient of itself to decide this matter.

[3] In poetry, the fign of the possessive case is frequently omitted, after proper names ending in s, or x; as, "The wrath of Peleus' fon." Pope. This feems not fo allowable in profe: as, Mofes' minister;" Josh. i. 1. "Phinehas' wife. 1 Sam. iv.
19. "Festus came into Felix' room." Acts xxiv. 27.

[4] "It is very probable, that this convocation was called, to clear fome doubt that King James might have had, about the lawfulnels of the Hollanders, their throwing off the monarchy of Spain, and their withdrawing for good and all their allegiance to that crown." Wellwood's memoirs, p. 31. 6th edit. In this fentence the pronominal adjective their is twice improperly added, the possessive case being sufficiently expressed without it.

Things are frequently confidered with relation to the distinction of fex or gender; as being male or female, or neither the one, nor the other. Hence substantives are of the masculine, feminine, or neuter, (that is, neither,) gender, which latter is only the exclusion of all confideration of gender.

The English language, with singular propriety, following nature alone, applies the distinction of masculine and seminine, only to the names of animals; all the rest are neuter, except when, by a poetical or rhetorical siction, things inanimate, and qualities, are exhibited as persons, and consequently become either male or semale. And this gives the English an advantage above most other languages in the poetical and rhetorical style, for, when nouns naturally neuter are converted into masculine and seminine, [5] the personification is more distinctly and forcibly marked.

Some

[5] "At his command th' uprooted Hills retir'd
Each to bis place: they heard his voice, and went
Obsequious: Heaven bis wonted face renew'd,
And with fresh flowrets hill and valley smil'd."
Milton, P. L. B. vi.

Million, P. II. B. Vi

"Was I deceiv'd; or did a fable cloud Turn forth ber filver lining on the night?"

Milton, Comus.

"Of law no less can be acknowledged, than that ber seat is the bosom of God; ber voice the harmony of the world. All things in heaven and earth do ber homage; the very least, as feeling ber care; and the greatest as not exempted from ber power." Some few substantives are distinguished in their gender, by their terminations; as, prince, princess; actor, actress; lion, lioness; bero, beroine, &c.

The chief use of gender in English, is in the pronoun of the third person; which must agree in that respect with the noun for which it stands.

### PRONOUN.

PRONOUN is as word standing instead of a noun, as its substantive or representative.

In the pronoun are to be considered the person,

number, gender, and cafe.

There are three persons which may be the subject of any discourse; first, the person who speaks may speak of himself; secondly, he may speak of the

power." Hooker, B. i. p. 6.. Go-to your natural religion; lay before ben Mahomet and his disciples, arrayed in armour and in blood—flew ber the cities, which he set in slames, the countries which he ravaged; when see has viewed him in this scene, carry ber to his retirements—flew ber the prophet's chamber, his concubines and his wives; when see its tired with this prospect, then shew ber the Blessed Jesus—.' See the whole passage in the conclusion of Bp. Sherlock's 9th Sermon, vol. i.

Of these heautiful passages we may observe, that as in the English if you put it and its instead of bis, she, her, you consonned and destroy the images, and reduce, what was before highly poetical and rhetorical, to mere prose and common discourse; so if you render them into another language, Greek, Latin, French, Italian or German, in which hill, heaven, cloud, law, religion, are constantly masculine or seminine or neuter, respectively, you make the images obscure and doubtful, and in proportion, diminish their beauty:

This excellent remark is Mr. Harris's, HERMES, p. 38.

the person to whom he addresses himself; thirdly, he may speak of some other person.

These are called, respectively, the first, second, and third persons; and are expressed by the

pronouns I, thou, and he.

As the speakers, the persons spoken to, and the other persons spoken of, may be many; so each of these persons hath the plural number,

zue, ye, they.

The persons speaking and spoken to, being at the same time the subjects of the discourse, are supposed to be present; from which, and other circumstances, their sex is commonly known, and needs not be marked by a distinction of gender in their pronouns: but the third person or thing spoken of, being absent, and in many respects unknown, it is necessary that it should be marked by a distinction of gender; at least when some particular person or thing is spoken of, which ought to be more distinctly marked: accordingly the pronoun singular of the third person hath three genders; he, see, it.

Pronouns have three cases; the nominative, the genitive, or possessive, like nouns; and moreover a case, which follows the verbactive, or the preposition, expressing the object of an action, or of a relation. It answers to the oblique cases in Latin; and may be properly enough called the

objective case.

PRONOUNS, according to their perfons; numbers. cases and genders.

PERSONS.

3. . I. . 2.. Singular. Plurak

I. Thou, He. We. Ye. or You. They CASES.

Nom. Post. Obj. Nom. Post. Obj. First Perfor-

I. Mine, Me. We, Ours, Us. Second Perfor.

Thou, Thire, Thee. Ye or You, Yours, You fol Third Person.

Masc. He, His, Him. Fom. She, Hers, Her, They, Theirs, Them. Neut, It, Its, [7] It.

[6] Some writers have used we as the objective case plural of the pronoun of the fecond perfort, very improperty and ungrammatically,

"The more shame for ye: holy men I thought ye."

Shakespear, Hen. VIII.

44 But tyrants dread ye, lest your just decree Transfer the pow'r, and set the people free," 44 His wrath, which one day will destroy ge both." . . . .

Milton, P. L. ii. 734. Milton uses the fame manner of expression in a few other places of his Paradife Loft, and more frequently in his poems. It may perhaps, be allowed in the comic and burlefque flyle, which often mitates a vulgar and incorrect pronunciation. as, " By the Lord, I knew ye, as well as he that made ye." Shakespear, I Hen. IV. But, in the ferious and folemn style no authority is sufficient to suftify so manifest a folecism.

The Singular and Piural form from to be confounded in the following fentence: " Puls ye away, thou inhabitants of Saphir."

[7] The Neuter pronoun of the third person had formerly no variation of cases. Instead of the possessive its they used his, which

The personal pronouns have the nature of Substantives, and as such, stand by themselves ; The rest have the nature of adjectives, and as such, are joined to substantives; and may be called pronominal adjectives.

Thy, my, her, our, your, their, are pronominal adjectives; but his, (that is, he's) her's, our's. your's, their's, have evidently the form of the possessive case: And by analogy, mine, thine, [3] may be esteemed of the same rank. All these are used, when the noun they belong to is underflood : The two latter fomesimes also instead of my, thy, when the noun following them begins with a vowel. Befide

is now appropriated to the masculine. " Learning hath bis infancy, when it is but beginning, and almost childish; then bis youth, when it is luxuriant and juvenile; then bis frength of years, when it is folid and reduced; and laftly bis old age, when it waxeth dry and exhaust.' Bacon, May 58. In this example bis is evidently used as the possessive case of it. But what shall we fay to the following where ber is applied in the fame manner, and feems to make a firange confusion of gender? "He that prickethe the heart maketh is to shew ber knowledge." Ecclus, xxii. 19.

" Of have I feen a timely parted ghoft, Of affiy femblance, meagre, pale and bloodless, Being all descended to the labring heart,

Who, in the conflict that it holds with death, Attracts the fame for aidance 'gainst the enemy."

Shakespear, 2 Hen. VI.

It ought to be,

" Which, in the conflict that it holds,"

Or, perhaps more poetically,

Who, in the conflict that be holds with death. "

[8] So the Saxon Ie hath the possession case Min; Thu, possession Thin; He, possession His: From which our pessession cases of the same pronouns are taken without alteration. To the Saxon' possessive cases, bire, ure, cower, bira, (that is, ber's, our's, yours, obeir's) we have added the s, the characteristic of the possessive case of nouns. Or our's, your's, are directly from the saxon ures, the possessive case of the Pronominal Adjustives ure; sower; that is, our your.

Beside the foregoing, there are several other pronominal adjectives; which, though they may fometimes feem to stand by themselves, yet have always fome fubflantive belonging to them, either referred to, or understood; as, This, that, other, any, some, one, none. These are called Definitive, because they define and limit the extent of the common name, or general term, to which they either refer, or are joined. The three first of thefe are varied, to express number; as, Thefe, those, others; [9] the last of which admits of the plural form only when its substantive is not joined to it, but referred to, or understood; none of them are varied to express the gender or case. One is fometimes used in an indefinite fense, (anfwering to the French on) as in the following phrases; "One is apt to think; -one sees; -one supposes:" Who, which, that, are called relatives, because they more directly refer to some substantive going before; which therefore is called the antecedent. They also connect the following part of the fentence with the foregoing. These belong to all the three persons; whereas the rest belong only to the third. One of them only is varied to express the three cases; Who, whose, [1]

and applied to things as well as persons; I think improperly.

<sup>[9] &</sup>quot; Diodorus, whose design was to refer all occurrences to years, is of more credit in a point of Chronology than Plutarch, or any other that write lives by the lump." Bently, Differt, on Themistocles's Epistles, Sect. vi. It ought to be others or writes, [1] Whose is by some authors made the possessive case of which,

that is, who's) [2] whom: None of them have different endings for the numbers. Who, which, what, are called interrogatives, when they are used in asking questions. The two latter of them have no variation of number or case. Each, every, [3] eithers, are called distributives; because they denote the persons or things that make up a number, as taken separately and singly.

Own and self in the plural selves, are joined to the possessives, my, our, thy, your, his, her, their; as, my own hand, myself, yourselves; both of them expressing emphasis or opposition, as, I did it my own self; that is, and no one else; the latter also forming the reciprocal pronoun, as, he hurt himself." Himself, themselves, seem to be used in the nominative case by corruption,

D instead

"The question, rebose solution I require,

Is, what the fex of women most desire."

Dryden.

Is there any other dostrine, robose sollowers are punished?

Addison.

The higher Poetry, which loves to confider every thing as hearing a personal character, frequently applies the personal possessive webset to inanimate beings.

"Of man's first disobedience, and the fruit Of that forbidden tree, whose mortal taste

Brought death into the world, and all our woe." Milton.
[2] So the Saxon bwa hath the possessive case bwas. Note,

that the Saxons rightly placed the Aspirate before the vo: as we now pronounce it. This will be evident to any one that shall consider in what manner he pronounces the words what, roben; that is, hoo-at, hoo-en.

[3] Every was formerly much used as a Pronominal Adjective, standing by itself: as, "He proposeth unto God their necessities, and they their own requests, for relief in every of them." Hooker, v. 39. "The corruptions and depredations to which every of these was subject." Swift, Contests and differences. We now

commonly fay, every once

instead of his felf, [4] their selves, as, he came himself, they did it themselves; where himself; themselves, cannot be in the objective case. If this be fo, felf must be, in these instances, not a pronoun, but a noun. Thus Dryden uses it:

What I flow.

Thy felf may freely on thyself bestow."

Ourself, the plural pronominal adjective with the fingular substantive, is peculiar to the regal Style.

Own is an adjective, or perhaps the participle (owen) of the verb to owe, to posses, to be the

right owner of a thing. [5]

All nouns whatever in grammatical construct tion are of the third person, except when an address is made to a person, then the noun (anfwering to what is called the vocative case in Latin) is of the fecond person.

## ADJECTIVE.

N ADJECTIVE is a word added to a fubstantive to express its quality. [6]

[4] His felf and their felves were formerly in use, even in the objective case after a preposition: "Every of us, each for his felf, labored how to recover him." Sidney. "That they would willingly and of their felves endcavor to keep a perpetual chastity." Stat. 2 and 3 Ed. VI. ch. xxi.
[5] "The man that owneth this girdle." Acls xxi. 11.

[6] Adjectives are very improperly called Nouns; for they are not the names of things. The adjectives good, white, are applied to the nouns man, fnow, to express the qualities belonging to those fubjects; but the names of those qualities in the abstract, (that is, confidered in themfelves, and without being attributed to any fubject) are goodnefs, whitenefs; and these are nouns or substantives. In English the adjective is not varied on account of gender, number or case. [7] The only variation, which it admits of, is that of the de-

grees of comparison.

Qualities for the most part admit of more and left, or of different degrees; and the words that express such qualities have accordingly proper forms to express different degrees. When a quality is simply expressed without any relation to the same in a different degree, it is called the Positive; as, wife, great. When it is expressed with augmentation, or with reference to a less degree of the same, it is called the Comparative; wifer, greater. When it is expressed as being in the highest degree of all, it is called the superlative; as, wifest, greatess.

So that the simple word, or positive, becomes comparative by adding r, or er; and superlative by adding f, or ef, to the end of it. And the adverbs more or most placed before the adjective have the same effect; as, wife, more wife, most wife: [8]

Monofyllables,

[7] Some few pronominal adjectives must here be excepted, as having the possession case; as one, other, onother: 'By one's own choice.' Sidney.

Teach me to feel another's woe. Pope, Univ. Prayer. And the adjectives former and latter, may be confidered as pronominal, and reprefenting the nouns, to which they refer; if the phrase in the following sentence be allowed to be just: "It was happy for the state, that Fabius continued in command with Minucius; the former's phlegm was a check upon the latter's vivaday."

[8] Double comparatives and superlatives are improper:

And his more braver daughter could controll thee."

Shakespear, Tempest. " After

Monofyllables, for the most part are compared by er and est, and disfyllables by more and most; as, mild, milder, mildest; frugal, more frugal, most frugal. Disfyllables ending in y, as happy, lovely; and in le after a mute, as able, ample; or accented on the last syllable, as discrete, polite, casily admit of er and est. Words of more than two syllables hardly ever admit of those terminations.

In some few words the Superlative is formed by adding the Adverb most to the end of them: as, nethermost, uttermost, or utmost, undermost, uppermost, foremost.

In English, as in most languages, there are some words of very common use, in which the caprice of custom is apt to get the better of analogy, that are irregular in this respect; as, good better.

<sup>&</sup>quot;After the most straits feet of our religion I lived a Pharifee." Acts xxvi. 5. So likewife adjectives, that have in themselves a superlative signification, admit not properly the superlative form superadded: "Whosoever of you will be chiefest shall be servant of all." Mark x. 44. "One of the first and chiefest instances of prudence." Atterbury, Serm. 1V. "While the extremest parts of the earth were meditating a submission." Ibid. i. 4.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Eut first and chiefest with thee bring Him, that you foars on golden wing, Guiding the fiery-wheeled throne, The Cherch correspondence." Miles

The Cherub contemplation." Milton, Il Penseroso.

<sup>&</sup>quot; That on the fea's extremest border stood."

But Poetry is in possession of these two improper superlatives, and

may be indulged in the use of them.

The double superlatives most highest is a phrase peculiar to the old vulgar translation of the Psalms; where it acquires a singular propriety from the subject to which it is applied, the Supreme Being, who is higher than the highest.

better, best, bad, worse, worst; little, less, [9] least; much, or many, more, most; and a sew others. And in other languages, the words irregular in this respect, are those which express the very same ideas with the foregoing.

# Station and see A.E.B. B. St. St. of Co. London

VERB is a word which fignifies to be, to

There are three kinds of verbs; active, passive,

and neuter verbs.

Slanda C. IX II The Co.

A verb active expresses an action, and necessarily implies an agent, and an object acted upon; as, to love; "I love Thomas."

A verb passive expresses a passion, or a suffering, or the receiving of an action; and necessarily implies an object acted upon, and an agent by which it is acted upon; as, to be loved; "Thomas is loved by me."

D 22 S6

Lefter, fays Mr. Johnson, is a barbarous corruption of left formed by the vulgar from the habit of terminating comparisons in er.' Attend to what a lefter music indites. Addition.

Attend to what a lefter mate montes. Addison.

The tongue is like a race-horse; which runs the faster, the lefter weight it carries. Addison, Spect. No. 247.

Worfer founds much more barbarous, only because it has not been so frequently used.

6. h" Changed to a worfer shape thou canst not be."

Shakespear, I Hen. VI.

That arms, a fullen interval of war." Dryden.
The fuperlative leaft ought rather to be written without the R, being contracted from leffef; as Dr. Wallis hath long ago observed. The conjunction of the fame found, might be written with the a, for diffinction.

So when the agent takes the lead in the fentence, the verb is active, and is followed by the object; when the object takes the lead, the verb is passive, and is followed by the agent.

A verb neuter expresses being, or a state or condition of being; when the agent and the object acled upon coincide, and the event is properly neither action nor passion, but rather something between both; as, Iam, I fleep, I walk.

The verb active is called also transitive; because the action passeth over to the object, or hath an effect upon some other thing: and the verb neuter is called intransitive: because the effect is confined within the agent, and doth not pass over to any object. [1]

iect. [1] In English many verbs are used both in an active and neuter fignification, the construction only de-

termining of which kind they are.

To the fignification of the verb is superadded the defignation of person, by which it corresponds with the feveral personal pronouns; of number, by which it corresponds with the number of the noun, fingular or plural; of time, by which it . reprefents

<sup>[1]</sup> The diftinction between verbs absolutely neuter, as to fleep and verbs active intransitive, as to walk, though founded in nature and truth, is of little use in grammar. Indeed it would rather perplex than affist the learner; for the difference between verbs aclive and neuter, as transitive and intransitive, is easy and obvious; but the difference between verbs absolutely neuter and heransitively active is not always clear. But however these latter may differ in nature, the construction of them both is the same; and grammar is not fo much concerned with their real, as with their grammatical properties.

represents the being, action, or passion, as present, past, or future; whether imperfectly or persectly, that is, whether passing in such time, or then sinished; and lastly of mode, or of the various manner in which the being, action, or passion is expressed.

In a verb, therefore, are to be confidered the person, the number, the time, and the mode.

The verb in some parts of it varies its endings, to express or agree with different persons of the same number; as, I love, thou lovest, he lovest, or loves.

So also to express different numbers of the same person; as, Thou lovest, ye love; he loveth, they love. [2]

So likewise to express different times, in which any thing is represented as being, acting, or acted upon; as, I love, I loved; I bear, I bore, I have born.

The mode is the manner, of representing the being, action, or passion. When it is simply declared, or a question is asked, in order to obtain a declaration concerning it, it is called the indicative mode; as, 'I love, lovest thou?' when it is hidden

[2] In the plural number of the verb, there is no variation of ending to express the different persons, and the three persons plural are the same also with the first person singular; moreover in the present time of the subjunctive mode all personal variation is wholly dropped. Yet is this scanty provision of terminations sufficient for all the purposes of discourse, nor does any ambiguity arise from it, the verb being always attended either with the noun expressing the subject acting or acted upon, or the pronoun representing it. For which reason the plural termination in en, they loven, they weren, formerly in use, was laid aside as unnecessary, and hath long been obsolete.

bidden, it is called the Imperative; as, flove thou: when it is subjoined as the end or defign, or mentioned under a condition, a supposition, or the like, for the most part depending on some other verb. and having a conjunction before it, it is called the Subjunctive; as, 'If I love; if thou love?' when it is barely-expressed without any limitation of person or number, it is called the Infinitive; as, 'to love:' and when it is expressed in a form in which it may be joined to a noun as its quality or accident, partaking thereby of the nature of an adjective, it is called the Participle; as, 'loving.' [3]

[3] A mode is a particular form of the verb, denoting the manner in which a thing is, does, or fuffers; or expressing an intention of mind concerning fuch being, doing, or suffering. As far as grammar is concerned, there are no more modes in any language, than there are forms of the verb appropriated to the denoting of fuch different manners of representation. For inflance, the Greeks have a peculiar form of the verb, by which they exprefs the fulject or matter of a with, which properly constitutes an optative mode; but the Latins have no fuch form, the subject of a wish in their language is subjoined to the wish itself, either expressed or implied, as subsequent to it and depending on it; they have therefore, no optative mode, but what is expressed in that mode in Greek, falls properly under the subjunctive mode in Latin. For the same reason, in English, the several expressions of conditional will, poffibility, liberty, obligation, &c. &c. come all under the subjunctive mode; the mere expressions of will, possibility, liberty, obligation, &c. belong to the indicative mode: it is their conditionality, their being subsequent, and depending upon fomething preceding, that determines them to be the fubjunctive mode. And in this grammatical modal form, however they may differ in other respects logically or metaphylically, they all agree. That will, possibility, liberty, obligation, &c. though expressed by the fame verbs that are occasionally used as subjunctive auxiliaries, may belong to the indicative mode will be apparent from a few examples:
Here we may reign fecure.

Gr of th' Liternal co-eternal beam,

But to express the time of the verb the English uses also the assistance of other verbs, called therefore Auxiliaries, or Helpers; do, be, have, shall, will; as, I do love, I did love; I am loved, I was loved; I have loved, I have been loved; I shall, or will, love, or be loved.

The two principal auxiliaries, to have, and to be, are thus varied, according to person, number,

time and mode,

Time is present, past, or future.

OT

Milton.

What we would do, We foould do, when we would.

Shakespear, Hamlet.

These sentences are all either declarative, or simply interrogative; and however expressive of will, liberty, possibility or obligation, yet the verbs are all of the indicative mood.

It feems, therefore, that whatever other metaphyfical modes there may be in the theory of universal grammar, there are in English no other grammatical modes than those above described.

That the participle is a mere mode of the verb, is manifest, if our definition of a verb be admitted; for it signifies being, doing or suffering, with the designation of time superadded. But if the effence of the verb, be made to consist in affirmation, not only the participle will be excluded from its place in the verb, but the infinitive itself also; which certain ancient grammarians of great authority, held to be alone the genuine verb, denying that title to all the other modes. See Hermes, p. 164.

# Indicative mode.

Prefent time.

Thou haft, [4] We have.

3. He hath, or has; [5] They Pag

[4] Thou in the polite, and even in the familiar flyle is diffused, and the plural you is employed instead of it; we say, you have, not thou hast. Though in this case, we apply you to a fingle person, yet the verb too must agree with it in the plural number; it must necessarily be, you have; not you hast. You was, the second person plural of the pronoun placed in agreement with the first or third person singular of the verb, is an enormous solecism, and yet authors of the first rank have inadvertently sallen into it. 'Knowing that you was my old master's good friend.' Addison, Spect. No 517. 'The account you was pleased to send me.' Bently, Phileleuch. Lipst. Part II. Letter. 'Would to God you was within her reach.' Bolingbroke to Swist, Letter 46. 'If you was here.' Ditto, Letter 47. 'I am just now as well, as when you was here.' Pope to Swist, P. S. to Letter 56. On the contrary the solemn style admits not of you for a single person. This hath led Mr. Pope into a great impropriety in the beginning of his Messian.

Who touch'd Isaiah's hallow'd lips with fire!

The folemnity of the flyle would not admit of you for thou in the pronoun; nor the measure of the verse toucheds, or didstance, in the verb, as it indispensably ought to be, in the one, or the other of these two forms; you, who touched, or than who toucheds, or didstance.

What are thou, speak, that on deligns unknown, While others sleep, thus range the camp alone?

Pepe's Iliad. x. 90.

Accept these grateful tears, for thee they flow, For thee, that ever felt another's woe.'

Again:

Inft of thy word, in every thought fincere;
Who knew no wift, but what the world might hear.'

Pope, Epitaph,

It ought to be your in the first line, or knewesk in the lecond in order to avoid this grammatical inconvenience, the two distinct forms of thou and you, are often used promise upushly by our modern poets, in the lame paragraph, and even in the line fentence, very inelegantly and improperty:

Now

### Paft time.

1. I had, if of the week of th

### Euture time.

1. I shall, or will, 2. Thou shalt, or wilt, [6] have; Ye or will,

3. He shall, or will. They have.

### Imperative mode.

1. Let me have, Let us have,

2. Have thou, Wall Have ye, in the E or, Do ye have,

3. Let him have. Let them have.

# Subjunctive mode.

1. I
2. Thou
3. He
3. He
4. We
Ye
They
They

Infinitive

'Now, now, I feize, I class thy charms;
And now you burst, ah cruel! from my arms.'

[5] Hath properly belongs to the ferious and folems style;
but to the familiar. The same may be observed of doth and does.

'But, confounded with thy art, Inquires her name, that bas his heart.' Waller.

The unwearied fun from day to day

Does his Creator's pow'r difplay.'

Addison.

The nature of the style, as well as the harmony of the verse.

feems to require in these places bath and doth.

[6] The auxiliary verb will is always thus formed in the fecond and third perfons fingular; but the verb to will, not being an auxiliary, is formed regularly in those persons, I will, thou willest, He willest or wills. 'Thou, that art the author and bestower of life, canst doubtless restore it if thou will st, and when thou will'st; but whether thou will'st (will) please to restore it, or not, that thou alone knowest.' Atterbury, Serm. I. 7.

Infinitive mode.

Present, To have; Past, To have had.

Participle.

Present, Having; Persect, [7] Had; Past, Having had.

TO BE.

Indicative mode.

Present time.

I.	I am,	We	7	
2.	Thou art,	Ye	are.	
3.	He is.	They	1	
-,30	Latent Pry	Or,		
ī.	I be,	We .	2	
2.	Thou beest,	Ye	} be.	
3.	He is; [8]	They	)	
Past time.				
1.	I was,	We	70017 40	
2.	Thou wast,	Ye	} were.	
3.	He was.	They	)	
Future time.				
1.	I shall, or will,	We	7 shall	
-	Thou shale an will	he. Ve	or will	
2.	Thou shalt, or wilt,	DC , 10	C OI WIII	

2. Thou shalt, or wilt, be; Ye
3. He shall, or will, They be.

Imperative

[7] This participle represents the action as complete and finished; and being subjoined to the auxiliary to have, constitutes the perfect times, I call it therefore the perfect participle. The same, subjoined to the auxiliary to he, constitutes the passive yerb, and in that state, or when used without the auxiliary in a passive sense, is called the passive participle.

[8] 'I think it be thine indeed, for thou liest in it.' Shakefpear, Hamlet. Be, in the singular number of this time and mode, especially in the third person, is obsolute; and is become

fomewhat antiquated in the plural.

### Imperative mode.

i.	Let me be,	Let us be,
2.	Be thou,	Be ye,
	or, Do thou be,	or, Do ye be,
3.	Let him be.	Let them be.
	Subjunctive mo	de.
	Present time.	
I.		We 7
2.	Thou { be;	Ye } be.
3.	He 3	They )
1	Past time.	10
1.	I were,	We 7
2.	Thou wert, [9]	Ye \ were.

Infinitive mode.

Thev )

Prefent, to be; Past, to have been.
Participle.

Present, being; Perfect, been;

3. He were.

Present, being; Perfect, been; Past, having been.

The verb active, is thus varied according to person, number, time and mode.

	E	indicative
[9]	' Before the fun,	
Before the Heav'ns	thou wert,'	Milton.
Remember what th	iou zvert.'	Dryden."
I knew thou wert n		Addison.
	ert fent to Ifrael's court."	Prior.
" All this thou wert.		Pope.
' Thou Stella, wert	no longer young,	1277

When first for thee my harp I strung. Swift. Shall we in deference to these great authorities allow west to be the same with west, and common to the indicative and subjunctive mode? or rather abide by the practice of our best ancient writers; the propriety of the language, which requires, as far as may be, diffined forms, for different modes; and the analogy of formation in each mode; I was, thou wast! I were thou west all which conspire to make west peculiar to the subjunctive mode.

I.

I. 2. 3.

ī. 2.

3.

I 2. 3. I. 2. 3.

# Indicative mode.

Indicative	
Prefent	time.
Sing.	Plur.
I. I love,	. We ?
I. I love,	Ye {love.
3. He loveth, or	loves; They
Past t	
I loved,	We
Thou loved st,	Ye loved.
He loved.	They \
. Future	time.
I shall, or will, Thou shalt, or wilt, He shall or will,	We 7 shall
Thou shalt, or wilt,	{love; Ye {or will
He shall or will,	They love.
Imperative	
Let me love,	Let us love, [1]
Love thou,	
or, Do thou love,	or, Do ye love,
Let him love;	Let them love.
Subjunctive	
Present	time.
1	TTT -!
Thou {love;	Ye love.
He	They )
AND	
I may	We may love;
Thou mayest \ love;	Ye and
I may Thou mayeft He may	They ) have loved. [2]
and the second second second	Pafi
[1] The other form of the first	perion plural of the Impera-

[1] The other form of the first perfective, love we is grown obsolete.

[2] Note, that the imperfect and perfect times are here put together. And it is to be observed, that, in the subjunctive men periferential will be believe your wine.

Post time.

1. I might ive we wight love;

2. Thou might est love; Ye have loved. [2]

I could, should, would; Thou couldst, &c.

love; and have loved.

Infinitive mode.

Present, to love: Past, to have loved.

Participle.

Prefent, loving; Perfect, loved; Past, having loved.

But in discourse, we have often occasion to speak of time, not only as present, past, and suture, at large and indeterminately; but also as such with some particular distinction of limitation that is, as passing, or finished, as impersect or persect. This will best be seen in an example of a verb, laid out and distributed according to these distinctions of time.

Indefinite or undetermined time.

Present,

Past, I loved; Future.
I shall love.

Definite

mode, the event being spoken of under a condition or supposition, or in the form of a wish, and therefore as doubtful and contingent, the verb itself in the present, and the auxiliary both of the present and past impersect times, often carry with them somewhat of a future sense: as, 'If he come to-morrow, I may speak to him:'——'If he should, or would, come to-morrow, I might, would, could, or should, speak to him.' Observe also, that the Auxillaries should and would in the impersect times are used to express the present and sould come now, or to-morrow;' as well as, 'It was my desire that he should, or would come now, or to-morrow;' as well as, 'It was my desire that he should or would, come yesterday.' So that in this mode the precise time of the verb is very much determined by the nature and drift of the sentence.

Definite or determined time.

Present imperfect: I am (now) loving. Present perfect: I have (now) loved. Past imperfect: I was (then) loving. Past perfect : I had (then) loved.

Future imperfect: I shall (then) be loving. Future perfect: I shall (then) have loved.

It is needless here to set down at large the several variations of the definitive times; as they confift only in the proper variations of the auxiliary, joined to the prefent or perfect participle; which have been already given.

To express the present and past impersect of the active and neuter verb, the auxiliary do is fometimes used: I do (now) love; I 'did (then) love.

Thus with very little variation of the principal verb, the feveral circumstances of mode and time. are clearly expressed by the help of the auxiliaries be, bave, do, let, may, can, shall, will.

The peculiar force of the feveral auxiliaries, is to be observed. Do and did mark the action itself or the time of it, [3] with greater form and distinc-

But I do love thee! · Perdition catch my foul

'This to me In dreadful fecrecy impart they did.' Shakefpear. ' Die he certainly did' Sherlock, vol. 1. difc. 7. . Yes, I did love her;' that is, at that time, or once; intimating a negation, or doubt, of prefent love.

'The Lord called Samuel: and he ran unto Eli, and faid, Here am I, for thou called me .- And the Lord called yet again, Samuel. And Samuel arose and went to Eli, and said, Here and I, for thou didft call me.' I Sam, iii. 4 .- 6.

tion. They are also of frequent and almost necesfary use in interrogative and negative sentences. They sometimes also supply the place of another verb, and make the repetition of it, in the same or a subsequent sentence unnecessary: as,

" He loves not plays,,

As thou doft, Anthony:

Shakespear; Jul. Cæf.

Let does not only express permission; but praying, exhorting, commanding. May and might express. the liberty or possibility of doing a thing; can and could, the power. Must is fometimes called in for a helper, and denotes necessity. Will, in the first person singular and plural, promises or threatens; in the fecond and third perfons, only foretells: shall on the contrary, in the first person, fimply foretells; in the fecond and third perfons, promifes, commands, or threatens. [4] But this. must be understood of explicative sentences; for when the fentence is interrogative, just the reverse for the most part takes place : thus, "I shall go; you will go;" express event only : but, "will you go?" imports intention: and " shall I go?" refers to the will of another. But again, "he shall go," and " shall he go?" both imply will, expressing or: referring to a command. Would primarily denotes inclination of will; and flould, obligation: but F. 2

[4] This diffinction was not observed formerly as to the word foult, which was used in the second and third persons to express simply the event. So likewise fould was used, where we now make use of roould, See the vulgar translation of the Bible.

they both vary their import, and are often used to express simple event.

Do and have make the present time; did, had, [5] the past; shall, will, the future; let is employed in forming the imperative mode; may, might, could, would, should, in forming the subjunctive. The preposition to, placed before the verb, makes the infinitive mode. [6] Have, through

[5] It has been very rightly observed, that the verb bad in the common phrase, I bad rather, is not properly used, either as an active, or as an auxiliary verb; that, being in the past time, it cannot in this case be properly expressive of time present; and that it is by no means reduceable to any grammatical construction. In truth, it seems to have arisen from a mere mistake, in resolving the familiar and ambiguous abbreviation, I'd rather, into I bad rather, instead of I weald rather; which latter is the regular, analogous and proper expression. See two grammatical essays. London, 1768. Essay 1.

[6] Bishop Wilkins gives the following elegant investigation

of the modes in his real character. Part iii, chap. 5.

'To flew in what manner the subject is to be joined with his predicate, the copula between them is affected with a particle; which, from the use of it, is called modus the manner or mode.

Now the subject and predicate may be joined together either simply, or with some kind of limitation; and accordingly these

modes are primary or fecondary.

The primary modes are called by grammarians indicative and

imperative.

When the matter is declared to be fo, or at least when it feems in the speaker's power to have it to be so, as the bare union of subject and predicate would import; then the copula is nakedly expressed without any variation: and this manner of expressing it is called the indicative mode.

When it is neither declared to be so, nor seems to be immediately in the speaker's power to have it so; then he can do no more in words, but make out the expression of his will to him

that hath the thing in his power: namely, to

his { Superior, Equal, Inferior, } by { Petition. Perfusion, Command, manner

through its feveral modes and times, is placed only before the perfect participle; and be, in like manner, before the prefent and passive participles: the rest only before the verb, or another auxiliary, in its primary form.

When an auxiliary is joined to the verb, the auxiliary goes through all the variations of person

manner of these affecting the copula, (be it fo, or let it be fo) is called the imperative mode; or which there are these three varieties, very fit to be diltincily provided for, As for that other use of the imperative mode, when it figuifies permission; this may be fufficiently expressed by the fecondary mode of liberty; vou may do it.

The fecondary modes are fuch, as, when the copula is affected with any of them, make the fentence to be (as logicians call it)

a modal proposition.

This happens, when the matter in discourse, namely, the being, or doing, or fufferings of a thing, is confidered, not fimply by itself, but gradually in its causes; from which it proceeds either contingently, or necessarily.

Then a thing feems to be left as contingent, when the speaker

expresses only the possibility of it, or his own liberty to it.

1. The possibility of a thing depends upon the power of its cause; and may be expressed,

abfolute, by the participle when conditional.

2. The liberty of a thing depends upon a freedom from all obstacles either within or without, and is usually expressed in our language.

absolute, when by the particle conditional,

Then a thing feems to be of necessity, when the speaker expresseth the resolution of his own will, or some other obligation upon him from without.

The inclination of the will is expressed,

absolute, by the particle conditional,

4. The necessity of a thing from some external obligation, when ther natural or moral, which we call duty, is expressed,

absolute, S muft, ought, fall: by the partiele must, ought, Bould! conditional. See also Hermes, Book I. chap. viii,

and number; and the verb itself continues invariably the same. When there are two or more auxiliaries joined to the verb, the first of them only is varied according to the person and number. The auxiliary must, admits of no variation.

The passive verb is only the participle passive (which for the most part is the same with the indefinite past time active, and always the same with the perfect participle,) joined to the auxiliary verb to be, through all its variations: as I am loved; I. was loved; I have been loved; I shall be loved; and fo on, through all the perfons, the numbers, the times, and the modes.

The neuter verb is varied like the active; but, having fomewhat of the nature of the passive, admits in many instances of the passive form, retaining still the neuter fignification; chiefly in fuch verbs, as fignify fome fort of motion, or change of place or condition: as, I am come; I was gone; I am grown; I was fallen. [7] The verb am in

this

<sup>[7]</sup> I doubt much of the propriety of the following examples: 'The rules of our holy religion, from which we are infinitely fuerved.' Tillotlon, vol. i. Serm. 27. 'The whole obli-gation of that law and covenant, which God made with the Jews, was also ceased.' Ibid. vol. ii. Serm. 52. 'Whose number was now amounted to three hundred.' Swift's contests and differfions, chap, iii. 'This Mareschal upon some discontent, was entered into a conspiracy against his master.' Addison, Freeholder, No. 31. Neuter verbs are sometimes employed very improperly as actives: 'Go, flee thee away into the land of Judah.' vii. 12. 'I think it by no means a fit and decent thing to vie charities, and erect the reputation of one upon the ruins of another.' Atterbury, Serm. I. 29. 'So many learned men, that have fpent their whole time and pains to agree the facred with

this case precisely defines the time of the action or event, but does not change the nature of it; the passive form still expressing, not properly a passion, but only a state or condition of being.

### IRREGULAR VERBS.

N English both the past time active and the participle perfect, or passive, are formed by adding to the verb ed, or d only, when the verb ends in e: as, turn, turned; love, loved. The verbs that vary from this rule, in either or in both cases, are esteemed irregular.

The nature of our language, the accent and prounciation of it, inchines us to contract even all our regular verbs: thus loved, turned, are commonly pronounced in one fyllole, lov'd, turn'd: and the fecond person, which was originally in three syllables, loveds, turneds, is now become a dissyllable, loveds, turnds: for as we generally throw the accent as far back as possible towards the first part of the word, (in some even to the fourth syllable from the end) the stress being laid

the profane chronology.' Sir William Temple, Works, Fol. vol. p. 296.

How would the Gods my righteous toils fucceed!.

Pope, Odyff. xiv. 447.

'If Jove this arm facceed.'
And active verbs are as improperly made neuter: as, 'I must premife with three circumftances.' Swift, Q. Ann's last Ministry, chap. 2. 'Those that think to ingratiate with him by calumniating me,' Bentley, Differt. on Phalaris, p. 159.

on the first fyllables, the rest are pronounced in a lower tone, more rapidly and indistinctly; and so are often either wholly dropped, or blended into one another.

It fometimes happens also, that the word, which arises from a regular change, does not sound easily or agreeably; sometimes by the rapidity of our pronunciation the vowels are shortened or lost; and the consonants, which are thrown together, do not easily coalesce with one another, and are therefore changed into others of the same organ, or of a kindred species. This occasions a farther deviation from the regular form: thus, loveth, turneth, are contracted into lov'th, turn'th, and these for easier pronunciation immediately become loves, turns.

Verbs ending in ch, ck, p, x, ll, fs, in the past time active, and the participle perfect or passive, admit the change of ed into t; as, [8] fnatcht, checkt, snapt, mixt, dropping also one of the double letters, dwelt, past; for snatched, checked, snapped, mixed, dwelled, passed: those that end in l, m, n, p, after a diphthong, moreover shorten the diphthong, or change it into a single short vowel; as dealt, dreamt, meant, felt, slept, &c. all for the same reason; from the quickness of the pronunciation, and because the d after a short vowel

<sup>[8]</sup> Some of these contractions are harsh and disagreeable; and it were better, if they were avoided and disasted: but they prevail in common discourse, and are admitted into poetry; which latter indeed cannot well do without them.

vowel will not easily coalesce with the preceding consonant. Those that end in ve change also v into f; as bereave, bereft; leave, left; because likewise v after a short vowel will not easily coalesce with t.

All these, of which I have hitherto given examples, are considered not as irregular, but as contracted only; in most of them the intire as well as the contracted form is used; and the intire form is generally to be preferred to the contracted.

The formation of verbs in English, both regular and irregular, is derived from the Saxon.

The irregular verbs in English are all monofyllables, unless compounded; and they are for the most part the same words which are irregular verbs in the Saxon.

As all our regular verbs are subject to some kind of contraction; so the first class of irregulars is of those that become so from the same cause.

T

### Irregulars by contraction.

Some verbs ending in d or t have the present, the past time, and the participle perfect and passive, all alike, without any variation: as, beat, burst, [9] cast,

<sup>[9]</sup> These two have also beaten and bursten in the participles; and in that form they belong to the third class of Irregulars.

cast, [1] cost, cut, heat, [2] hit, hurt, knit, lift,\*
[3] light, [4] put, quit,\* read, [5] rent, rid, set,
shed, shred, shut, slit, split, [6] spread, thrust,
wet.\*

These are contractions from beated, bursted, costed, &c. because of the disagreeable found of the syllable ed after d or t. [7]

Others in the past time, and participle perfect and passive, vary a little from the present, by shortening the diphthong, or changing the d into

t:

[1] Shakespear uses the particle in the regular form:
And when the mind is quicken'd, out of doubt
The organs, tho' defunct and dead before,
Break up their drowsie grave, and newly move

With casted slough, and fresh celerity.' Hen. V.

[2] 'He commanded, that they should heat the furnace one seven times more than it was wont to be beat.' Dan. iii. 19.

[3] The verbs marked thus,\* throughout the three classes of irregulars, have the regulars as well as the irregular form in use.

[4] This verb in the past time and participle is pronounced short, light, or lit: but the regular form is preserable, and prevails most in writing.

[5] This verb in the past time and participle is pronounced short; read, red, red; like lead, led; and perhaps ought to be written in this manner: Our ancient writers spelt it redde.

Which writ his honor in the acts it did,
Hath, with the courage which the heart did lend it,
Splitted the heart itself.'
Ant. and Cleop.

[7] They follow the Saxon rule: 'Verbs which in the infinitive end in din and tan.' (that is, in English, d and t; for an is only the characteristic termination of the Saxon infinite; ('in 'the preterit and participle preterit commonly, for the sake of better sound, throw away the sinal ed; as beet, afed, (both in 'the preterit and participle preterit) for bested, afeded; from bestan, 'afedan.' Hickes, Grammat. Sax chap. ix. So the same Verbs in English, beat, fed, instead of beated, feeded.

\*; as, lead, led; fweat, [8] fwet; \* meet, met; bleed, bled; breed, bred; feed, fed; fpeed, fped; bend, bent; \* lend, lent; rend, rent; fend, fent; fpend, fpent; build, built; geld, gelt; \* gild gilt; gird, girt; \* lofe, loft.

Others not ending in d or t are formed by contraction; have, had for haved; make, made, for maked; flee, fled, for flee-ed; shoe, shod, for shoe-ed.

The following, befide the contraction, change also the vowel; sell, fold; tell, told; clothe, clad.\*

Stand, stood; and dare, durst, (which in the participle hath regularly dared); are directly from the Saxon, flandan, flode; dyrran, dorste.

#### II.

### Irregulars in ght.

The irregulars of the fecond class end in ght, both in the past time and participle; and change the vowel or diphthong into au or ou: they are taken from the Saxon in which the termination is hte.

#### Saxon.

Bring,	brought:	Bringan,	brohte.
Buy,	bought:	Bycgean,	bohte.
Catch.	caught.		

.

F Fight

[8] 'How the drudging goblin fuvet,' Milton Allegro. Shakespear uses fuveaten, as the participle of this verb;

Grease, that's fuveaten

From the murtherer's gibbet, throw.' Macbeth.
In this form it belongs to the third class of irregulars.

Fight, fought: [9] Feoten, fuht.
Teach, taught: Tæchan, tæhte.
Think, thought: Thencan, thohte.
Seek, fought: Secan, fohte.
Work, wrought: Weorcan, worhte.

Fraught seems rather to be an adjective than the participle of the verb to freight, which has regularly frieghted. Raught from reach is obsolete.

### III.

### Irregulars in en.

The irregulars of the third class form the past time by changing the vowel or diphthong of the present; and the participle perfect and passive, by adding the termination en; beside, for the most part, the change of the vowel or dipthong. These also derive their formation in both parts from the Saxon.

Present. Paft. Participle. a changed into e. Fall. fell. fallen. into Awake, awoke.\* (awaked) into .. 00. forfook. forfaken. Forfake, Shake,

[9] 'As in this glorious, and well-foughten field

We kept together in our chivalry.' Shakespear, Hen. V.

On the foughten field Michael, and his Angels, prevalent.

Encamping, plac'd in guard their watches round.

This participle feems not agreeable to the analogy of derivation, which obtains is this class of verbs.

Shake,		shook,	shaken. [1]
Take,		took,	taken.
ลาบ	into	ew.	F-01 - 11
Draw,	()	drew,	drawn. [2]
ay .	into	ew.	AVENUE L'IN
Slay,		flew,	flayn. [2]
e	into	a or o,	0.
Get,		gat, or got,	gotten.
Help,	-11	(helped,) [3]	holpen.*
Melt,	-JT 1441	(melted,)	molten.*
Swell,	1000	(fwelled,)	fwollen.*
ea ,	into	a or o.	SHAPP BY
Eat,		ate,	eaten.
	V-10-3		0.
Bear,	bare,	or bore,	born.
Break,	brake,	or broke,	broken.
Cleave,	clave,	or clove,*	cloven.
Speak,	fpake,	or spake,	fpoken.
Swear,	fware,	or fwore,	fworn.
Tear,	tare,	or tore,	torn.
Wear,	ware,	or wore,	worn.
Heave,	hove,*		hoven.*
Shear,	fhore,		fhorn.

Steal

[3] The ancient irregular form holpe is still used in conversa-

<sup>[1] &#</sup>x27;A fly and conftant knave, not to be fbak'd.'
Shakespear, Cymb.

<sup>&</sup>quot;West thou some star, that from the ruin'd roof
Of shak'd Olympus by mischance didst fall."

The regular form of the participle in these places is improper, [2] When en sollows a vowel or liquid the e is dropped: So drawn, slayn, (or slain) are instead of drawen, slayen; so likewise known, born, are for knowen, boren, in the Saxon enawen boren; and so of the rest.

Steal,	stole,	ftolen or stoln.
Tread,	- trode,	troden.
Weave,	wove,	woven.
	nto o	0.
Creep,	crope,*	(creeped or crept.)
Freeze,	froze,	frozen.
Seethe,	fod,	fodden.
ee in	to arv.	
See	faw,	feen.
i long i	nto i short,	i fhort.
Bite,	bit,	bitten.
Chide,	chid,	chidden.
Hide, -	hid,	hidden.
Slide,	flid,	flidden.
i long i	nto o,	i fhort.
Abide,	abode.	
Climb,	clomb,	(climbed.)
Drive,	drove,	driven.
Ride,	rode,	ridden.
Rife,	rose, [4]	risen.
Shine,	fhone,*	(shined.)
Shrive,	shrove,	fhriven.
Smite,	fmote,	fmitten.
Stride,	strode,	stridden.
Strive,	strove,	ftriden.*

Thrive,

<sup>[4]</sup> Rife with i short, hath been improperly used as the past time of this verb, 'That form of the first or primigenial earth, which rife immediately out of chaos, was not the same, nor like to that of the present earth.' Burnet's Theory of the Earth, B. 1. chap. 4. 'If we hold sast to that scripture conclusion, that all mapkind rife from one head.' Ibid. B. 11. chap. 7.

Dig,

Thrive, throve, [5] thriven.

Write, [6] wrote, written.

i long into u, i short.

Strike, struck, stricken, or strucken.

i short into a.

Bid, bade, bidden.

Give, gave, given.

fpitten.

fitten.

F 2

[5] Mr. Pope has used the regular form of the past time of this verb:

' In the fat age of pleafure, wealth, and cafe. Sprung the rank weed, and thriv'd with large increase.'

Effay on Critt.

[6] This verb is also formed like those of ilong into i short; Write, writ, written; and by contraction writ in the participle;

but, I think improperly.

Sit, [7] fat, Spit, fpat,

i short into u.

[7] Frequent mistakes are made in the formation of the participle of this verb. The analogy plainly requires fitten; which was formerly in use: 'The army having fitten there so long.'—
'Which was enough to make him stir, that would not have fitten ftill, though Hannibal had been quiet.' Raleigh. 'That no parliament thould be diffolved, till it had fitten five months." Hobbes, Hist. of Civil Wars, p. 257. But it is now about wholly difused, the form of the past time sat, having taken its place. 'The court was fat, before Sir Roger came." Addison, Spect. No. 122. Dr. Middleton hath, with great propriety, restored the true participle:— To have fitten on the heads of the apostles :- to have fitten upon eath of them.' Works, vol. ii. p. 30. Bleffed is the man, -that hath not fat in the feat of the fcornful.' Pfale i, I. The old editions have sit; which may be perhaps allowed as a contraction of fitten. 'And when he was fet, his disciples came unto him.' Matth. v. 1 .- ' who is fet on the right hand,'- and is fet down at the right hand of the throne of God;' Heb. viii. 1. and xii. 2. (see also Matth. xxvii. 19, Luke xxii. 55. John xiii. 12 Rev. iii. 21.) - Set can be no part of the verb to fit. If it belong to the verb to fet, the transfation in these passages is wrong : For to fet, signifies to place, but without any defignation of the posture of the person placed; which is a circumflance of importance, expressed by the original

Dig, dug,\* ie into ay. Lie, [8] lay, o into e. Hold held, o into i. Do did, oo into o. Choose, chose, orv into erv. Blow, blew, Crow, crew, Grow, grew, Knew, knew, Throw, threw, v into ew, Fly, [9] flew,

(digged.)

lien, or lain.

holden.

done, i. e. doen.

chofen.

blown. (crowed.) grown. known. thrown. 670.

flown. [1]

The

[8] This neuter verb is frequently confounded with the verb active to lay (that is, to put or place;) which is regular, and has in the past time and participle layed or laid.

For him, thro' hostile camps I bent my way, For him, thus prostrate at thy feet I lay; Large gifts proportioned to thy wrath I bear.'

Pope, Iliad xxiv. 622.

Here lay is evidently used for the present time, instead of lie. [9] That is, as a bird, volere; whereas to flee signifies fugere, as from an enemy. So in the Saxon and German, fleogran, fliegen, volare; fleon, flichen, fugere. This seems to be the proper distinction between to fly and to flee; which in the present time are very often confounded. Our translation of the Bible, is not quite free from this mistake. It hath flee for volare, in perhaps seven or eight places out of a great number; but never fly for fugere.

[1] ' For rhyme in Greece or Rome was never known, Till by barbarian deluges o'erflown. Roscommon, Essay;
Do not the Nile and the Niger make yearly inundations in The following are irregular only in the participle; and that without changing the vowel.

ple; and	that without changing	g the vowel.
Bake,	(baked,)	baken.*
Fold,	(folded,)	folden.* [2]
Grave,	(graved,)	graven.*
Hew,	(hewed,)	hewen, or hewn.*
Lade,	(laded,)	laden.
Load,	(loaded,)	loaden.*
Mow,	(mowed,)	mown.*
Owe,	(owed, or ought,)	owen.*
Rive,	(rived,)	riven.
Saw,	(fawed,)	fawn.*
Shave,	(shaved,)	fhaven.*
Shew,	(shewed,)	fhewn.*
	or,	
Show,	(showed,)	fhown.
Sow,	(fowed,)	fown.*
Straw,-ew	v, or-ow, (strawed, &	c.) strawn*.
Wash,	(washed,)	washen*. [3]
Wax,	(waxed,)	waxen*.
Wreath,	(wreathed,)	wreathen.
Writhe,	(writhed,)	writhen.
		Come

Some

our days, as they have formerly done? And are not the countries to overflown fill fituate between the tropicks?'

Thus oft by mariners are shown

Eearl Godwin's castles overflown.' Swift. Here the participle of the irregular verb, to fty, is consounded with that of the regular verb to flow. It ought to be in all these places overflowed.

[2] 'While they be folden together as thorns.' Nahum i. 20.

[3] "With unwasben hands." Mark vii. 2, 5,

Some verbs, which change i short into a or u, and i long into ou, have dropped the termination en in the participle.

i shore	t into a or u,	u.
Begin,	began,	begun.
Cling,	clang,	or clung, clung.
Drink,	drank,	drunk, or drunken
Fling,	flung,	flung.
Ring,	rang,	or rung, rung.
Shrink,	fhrank,	or fhrunk, shrunk.
Sing,	fang,	or fung, fung.
Sink,	fank,	or funk, funk.
Sling,	allang,	or flung, flung.
Slink,	flunk,	flunk.
Spin,	fpan,	or fpun, fpun.
Spring,	fprang,	or fprung, fprung.
Sting,	stung,	flung.
Stink,	stank,	or stunk, stunk.
String,	strung,	ftrung.
Swim,	fwam,	or fwum, fwum.
Swing,	fwang,	fwung.
Wring,	wrung,	wrung.

In many of the foregoing, the original and analogical form of the past time in a, which distinguisheth it from the participle, is grown quite obsolete.

i long into	04,	011.	
Bind,	bound,	bound or bounder	h
Find,	found,	found.	

Grind.

Grind, ground, ground. Wind, wound, wound.

That all these had originally the termination en in the participle, is plain from the following confiderations. Drink and bind still retain it; drunken, bounden; from the Saxon, druncen, bunden: and the rest are manifestly of the same analogy with these. Begonnen, sonkon, and founden, are used by Chaucer; and some others of them appear in their proper shape in the Saxon; seruncen, spunnen, sprungen, stungen, wunden, as likewise in the German, which is only another offspring of the Saxon: begunnen, geklungen, getrunken, gesungen, gesunken, gespunnen, gesprungen, gesuncken, geschwummen, geschwumgen.

The following seem to have lost the en of the participle in the same manner.

Hang, [4]	hung,*	hung.*
Shoot,	fhot,	fhot.
Stick,	stuck,	stuck.
Come,	came,	come.
Run,	ran,	run.
Win,	won,	won.

Hangen, and fcoten, are the Saxon originals of the two first participles; the latter of which is likewife

<sup>[4]</sup> This verb, when active, may perhaps be most properly used in the regular form; when neuter, in the irregular. But in the active sense of furnishing a room with draperies the irregular form prevails. The vulgar translation of the Bible uses only the regular form.

likewise still in use in its sirst form in one phrase; a stotten herring. Stuck seems to be a contraction from stucken, as struck now in use for strucken. Chaucer hath comen and wonnen: becommen is even used by Lord Bacon. [5] And most of them still subsist entire in the German; gehangen, kommen, gerunnen, gewonnen.

To this third class belong the defective verbs,

be, been; and go, gone; i. e. goen.

From this distribution and account of the irregular verbs, if it be just, it appears that originally there was no exception from the rule, that the participle preterit, or passive, in English ends in d, t, or n. The first form included all the regular verbs: and those, which are become irregular by contraction, ending in t, To the second properly belonged only those, which end in ght, from the Saxon irregulars in bte. To the third, those from the Saxon irregulars in en; which have still, or had originally the same termination.

The same rule affords a proper soundation for a division of all the English verbs into three conjugations; or classes of verbs, distinguished one from another, by a peculiar formation, in some principal part of the verbs belonging to each; of which conjugations respectively, the three different terminations of the participle might be the characteristics. Such of the contracted verbs as have their participles now ending in t, might perhaps be best

reduced

reduced to the first conjugation, to which they naturally and originally belonged; and they seem to be of a very different analogy from those in ght. But as the verbs of the first conjugation would so greatly exceed in number those of both the others, which together make but about 116; [6] and as those of the third conjugation are so various in their form, and incapable of being reduced to one plain rule; it seems better in practice to consider the first ed as the only regular form, and the others as deviations from it; after the example of the Saxon and German Grammarians.

To the irregular verbs are to be added the defective; which are not only for the most part irregular, but are also wanting in some of their parts. They are in general words of most frequent and vulgar use; in which custom is apt to get the better of analogy. Such are the auxiliary verbs, most of which are of this number. They are in use only in some of their times and modes; and in some of them are a composition of times of several defective verbs, having the same signification.

Present. Past. Participle.

Am, was, been.

Can, could. Go,

<sup>[6]</sup> The whole number of verbs in the English language regular and irregular, simple and compounded, taken together, is about 4300. See, in Dr. Ward's Essays on the English language, the catalogue of English verbs. The whole number of irregular verbs, the defective included, is about 176.

Go, went, gone.

May, might.

Muft.

Quoth, quoth.

Shall, fhould.

Weet, wit, or wot; wot.

Will, would.

Wis, wift.

There are not in English so many as a hundred verbs, (being only the chief part, but not all, of the irregulars of the third class) which have a diftinct and different form for the past time active and the participle perfect or passive. The general bent and turn of the language is towards the other form; which make the past time and the participle the fame. This general inclination and tendency of the language feems to have given occafion to the introducing of a very great corruption: by which the form of the past time is confounded with that of the participle in these verbs, few in proportion, which have them quite different from one another. This confusion prevails greatly in common discourse, and is too much authorized by the example of some of our best writers. [7]

<sup>[7] &</sup>quot;He would bave spole." Milton, P. L. x. 517. Words intervove with fighs found out their way. P. L. i. 621.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Those kings and potentates who bave strove. Eiconoclast. xvii.
"And to his faithful fervant bath in place

Bore witness gleriously." Samson Ag. ver. 1752. "And envious darkness, 'ere they could return.

Had fiele them from me." Comus, ver. 195.

Thus it is faid, He begun, for he began; he run, for he ran; he drunk, for he drank: the participle G being

Here it is observable, that the author's MS. and the first edition have it solne.

" And in triumph bad rode." P. R. iii. 36.

" I have chose

This perfect man." P. R. i. 165.

"The fragrant brier was wove between." Dryden, Fables.

"I will fcarce think you bave fwam in a Gondola."

Shakefpear, As youlike it.

" Then finish what you bave began,

But scribble faster, if you can. Poems, Vol. II. p. 172.

"And now the years a numerous train have ran;

'The blooming boy is ripen'd into man.' Pope's Odyst. xi. 555.
"Have sprang." Atterbury, Serm. i. 4.
"Had spake—bad began."—Clarendon, Contin. Hist. p. 40.
and 120. "The men begun to embellish themselves" Addison.
Spect. No. 434.

" Rapt into future times the bard begun." Pope, Messiah.

And, without the necessity of rhyme:

" A fecond deluge learning thus o'er-run,

And the Monks finish'd what the Goth's begun."

Essay on Criticism.

"Repeats you verses wrote on glasses." Prior.
"Mr. Misson bas wrote." Addition, Presace to his Travels.
"He could only command his voice, which was broke with sighs and sobbings, so far as to bid her proceed."

Addison, Spect. No. 164.

" No civil broils bave fince his death arofe."

Dryden, on O. Cromwell. ns bave rofe." Prior.

"Had not arese." Swift, Battle of Books; and Bolingbroke, Letter to Wyndham. p. 233.

"The fun has rose, and gone to bed,
Just as if Partridge were not dead."
Swift.

"This nimble operator will bave fole it." Tale of a Tub, Scct. x.
"Some philosophers bave miflock." Ibid. Sect. ix.

"That Diodorus has not miflook bimfelf in his account of the date of Phintia, we may be as fure as any history can make us." Bentley, Differt. on Phalaris, p. 98.

"Why, all the fouls that were, were ferfeit once;

And

being used instead of the past time. And much more frequently the past time instead of the participle: as, I had wrote, it was wrote, for I had written, it was written; I have drank, for I have drunk; bore, for born; chose, for chosen; bid for bidden; got for gotten, &c. This abuse has been long growing upon us, and is continually making further incroachments; as it may be observed in the example of those irregular verbs of the third class, which change i short into a and u: as, Cling, clang, clung; in which the original and analogical form of the past time in a is almost grown obfolete; and, the u prevailing instead of it, the past time is now in the most of them confounded with the participle. The vulgar translation of the Bible, which is the best standard of our langauge, is free from this corruption, except in a few inflances; as hid is used for hidden; held for bolden, frequently; bid, for bidden; begot, for begotten, once or twice: in which, and a few other like words, it may perhaps be allowed as a contraction. And in some of these, custom has estab-

lifhed

And He, that might the 'vantage best bave took,
Found out the remedy.' Shakespear, Weaf, for Meaf.
"Silence

Was took eve she was ware." Milton, Comus.

1 Into these common places look,

Which from great authors I have took Prior, Alma.

A free conflitution, when it has been flook by the iniquity of former administrations? Bolingbroke, Patriot King, p. 111.

Too strong to be flook by his enemies. Atterbury.

" Ev'n there he fhould bave fell." Prior, Solomon.

"Sure fome difaster bas befell.

Speak, Nurse; I hope the Boy is well."

Gay, Fables.

lished it beyond recovery: in the rest it seems wholly inexcusable. The absurdity of it will be plainly perceived in the example of some of these verbs, which custom has not yet so perverted. We should be immediately shocked at I have knew, I have saw, I have gave, &c. but our ears are grown familiar with I have wrote, I have drank, I have bore, &c. which are altogether as barbarous.

There are one or two small irregularities to be noted, to which some verbs are subject in the formation of the present participle. The present participle is formed by adding ing to the verb: as turn, turning. Verbs ending in e omit the e in the present participle: as, love, loving. Verbs ending with a single consonant preceded by a single vowel, and, if of more than one syllable, having the accent in the last syllable, double the consonant in the present participle, as well as in every other part of the verb in which a syllable is added: as, put, putting, putteth; forget, forgetting, forgetteth; abbet, abetting, abetted. [8]

#### ADVERB.

Review

A DVERBS are added to Verbs, and to Adjectives, to denote some modification or circumstance

<sup>[8]</sup> Some verbs having the accent on the last fyllable but one, as, worship, counsel, are represented in like manner, as doubling the last consonant in the formation of these parts of the verb, in which a syllable is added; as, worshipping counselling. But this I rather judge to be a fault in the spelling, which neither analogy nor pronunciation justifies.

circumstance of an action, or quality: as, the manner, order, time, place, distance, motion, relation, quantity, quality, comparison, doubt, affirmation, negation, demonstration, interrogation.

In English they admit of no variation; except some few of them, which have the degrees of comparison: as, [9] "often, oftener, oftenest;" foon, sooner, soonest;" and those irregulars, derived from adjectives [1] in this respect likewise irregular; "well, better, best;" &c.

An adverb is fometimes joined to another adverb, to modify or qualify its meaning; as, "very much; much too little; not very prudently."

# PREPOSITION.

REPOSITIONS, fo called because they are commonly put before the words to which they

[9] The formation of adverbs in general with the comparative and superlative terminations seems to be improper; at least it is now become almost obsolete: as, "Touching things which generally are received—we are bardliest able to bring such proof of their certainty, as may satisfy gainsayers." Hooker, B. V. 2. "Was the cossilier persuaded," Raleigh. "That he may the strengther provide." Hobbes, Life of Thucyd. "The things bioblish important to the growing age." Shaftesbury, Letter to Molesworth. "The question would not be, who loved himself, and who not; but, who loved and served himself the rightest, and after the truest manner." Id. Wit and Humour. It ought rather to be, most bardly, more easily, more frongly, mess bigbly, most right or most rightly. But these comparative adverbs, however improper in prose, are sometimes allowable in poetry.

"Scepter and pow'r Thy giving, I affume; And gladlier shall refign." Milton, P. L. vi. 731.

[1] See above, p. 29.

they are applied, ferve to connect words with one another, and to shew the relation between them.

One great use of prepositions in English, is to: express those relations, which in some languagesare chiefly marked by cases, or the different end

ings of the noun.

Most prepositions originally denote the relation of place, and have been thence transferred to denote by similitude other relations. Thus, out, in, through, under, by, to, from, of, &c. Of is much the same with from: "ask of me," that is, from me: "made of wood;" "Son of Philip;" that is, sprung from him. For, in its primary sense, is pro, loca alterius, in the stead or place of another. The notion of place is very obvious in all the rest. [2]

G 2

Prepositions :

[2] The particle a before participles, in the phrases a-coming, a-going, a-walking, a-shooting, &c. and before nouns, as a-bed, a-board, a-shore, a-foot, &c. Icems to be a true and genuine prepolition, a little difguifed by familiar use and quick pronunciation. Dr. Wallis supposes it to be the proposition at. I rather think it is the preposition on; the sense of which answers better to the intention of those expressions. At has relation chiefly to place: on has a more general relation, and may be applied to action, and many other things, as well as place. "I was on coming, on going," &c. that is, employed upon that particular action: fo likewise those other phrases above mentioned, a-bed, &c. exactly answer to on bed, on board, on shore, on foot. Dr. Bentley plainly supposed a to be the same with on; as appears from the following passage: " He would have a learned University make Barbarisms a purpose." Dissert. on Phalaris, p. 223. And the preposition on has manifestly deviated into a in other instances: Thus the Saxon compounded prepositions angean, onmang, onbutan, are become in English, by the rapidity of pronunciation, againft, among, about; and what is in the Saxon Gospel, "Ic wylle gan on fixeth," is in the English translation,

Prepositions are also prefixed to words in such manner, as to coalesce with them, and to become a part of them. Prepositions, standing by themselves in construction, are put before nouns and pronouns; and sometimes after verbs; but in this fort of composition they are chiefly prefixed to verbs: as, to outgo, to overcome, to undervalue. There are also certain particles, which are thus employed in composition of words, yet cannot stand by themselves in construction: as, a, be, con, mis, &c. in abide, bedeck, conjoin, mistake, &cc. these are called inseparable prepositions.

#### CONJUNCTION.

HE Conjunction connects or joins together fentences; fo as, out of two, to make one fentence.

Thus, "You, and I, and Peter, rode to London," is one fentence, made up of these three by the conjunction and twice employed; "You rode to London; I rode to London; Peter rode to London." Again, "You and I rode to London,

<sup>&</sup>quot;I go a fishing." John, xxi. 3. Much in the same manner, Thomas of Becket, by very frequent and samiliar use, became Thomas a Becket; and one of the clock, or perhaps on the clock is written, one o'clock, but pronounced, one a clock. The phrases with a before a participle are out of use in the solemn style; but still prevail in familiar discourse. They are established by long usage, and good authority; and there seems to be no reason, why they should be utterly rejected.

but Peter staid at home," is one sentence made up of three by the conjunctions and and but; both of which equally connect the sentences, but the latter expresses an opposition in the sense. The first is therefore called a conjunction copulative; the other a conjunction disjunctive.

The use of copulative conjunctions is to connect, or to continue, the sentence, by expressing an addition, and; a supposition or condition, if, as; a cause, because, [3] then; a motive that; an

inference, therefor; &c.

The use of disjunctives is to connect and to continue the sentence; but withal to express opposition of meaning in different degrees: as, or, but, then, altho, unless, &c.

## INTERJECTION.

NTERJECTION, fo called, because they are thrown in between the parts of a sentence without making any other alteration in it, are a kind of natural sounds to express the affection of the speaker.

The different passions have, for the most part, different interjections to express them.

The

<sup>[3]</sup> The conjunction because, used to express the motive or end, in either improper or obsolete: as, 'The multitude rebuked them, because they should hold their peace.' Matt. xx. 31. 'It is the case of some, to contrive salse periods of business, because they may seem men of dispatch.' Bacon, Essay xxv. We should now make use of that.

The interjection O, placed before a fubstantive, expresses more strongly an address made to that person or thing; as it marks in Latin what is called the vocative case.

### SENTENCES:

SENTENCE is an affemblage of words, expressed in proper form, and ranged in proper order, and concurring to make a complete fense.

The construction of fentences depends principally upon the concord or agreement, and the regimen or government of words.

One word is faid to agree with another, when it is required to be in like case, number, gender,

or person.

One word is faid to govern another, when it causeth the other to be in some case or mode.

Sentences are either simple or compounded.

A fimple fentence hath in it but one subject, and one finite verb; that is, a verb in the indicative, imperative, or subjunctive mode.

A phrase is two or more words rightly put together, in order to make a part of a sentence; and

fometimes making a whole fentence.

The most common Phrases used in simple fentences, are the following.

10

Ist Phrase: The substantive before a verb active, passive, or neuter; when it is said what thing is, does, or is done; "as I am;" "Thou writest;" "Thomas is loved:" where I, Thou, Thomas, are the nominative [4] cases, and answer to the question who, or what? as, "Who is loved? Thomas." And the verb agrees with the nominative case in number and person [5]; as, Thou being the fecond person singular, the verb writest is so too.

2d

[4] 'Scotland and Thee did each in other live.'

Dryden, Poems, Vol. II. p. 220.

' We are alone; here's none, but Thee and 1.'

Shakespear, 2. Hen. VI. It ought in both places to be Thou; the nominative case to the

verb expressed or understood.

[5] But Thou, false Arcite, never foall obtain Thy bad pretence.' Dryden, Fables. It ought to be, fbalt. The mistake seems to arise from the confounding of Thou and You, as equivalent in every respect; whereas one is fingular, the other plural. See above, p. 50.
'Nor thou, that flings me floundering from thy back.'

Parnel, Battle of Frogs and Mice, I. 123. ' There's (there are) two or three of us have feen ftrange fights. Shakespear, Jul. Cæs.

Great pains has (have) been taken. Pope, P. S to the Odyssey. ' I have confidered, what bave (hath) been faid on both fides in Tillotfon, Vol. I. Serm. 27. this controversey.

' One would think, there was more Sophists than one had a finger in this Volume of Letters.' Bentley, Differt. on So-

crates's Epistles, Sect. ix.

'The number of the names together were about an hundred

and twenty.' Acts, i. 15. See also Job, xiv. 5.

' And Rebekah took goodly raiment of her eldest fon Esau, which were with her in the house, and put them upon Jacob her youngest son.' Gen xxvii. 15.

If the blood of bulls and of goats, and the after of an heifer, fprinkling the unclean, functifieth to the purifying of the flesh. Heb. ix. 13. See also Exod. ix. 8, 9, 10. In one hour so great riches is come to nought.' Rev. xviii. 17.

2d Phrase: The substantive after a verb neufer or passive; when it is faid, that such a thing is, or is made, or thought, or called, fuch another thing; or, when the substantive after the verb is fpoken of the fame thing or person with the substantive before the verb: as, "a calf becomes an ox;" "Plautus is accounted a Poet;" "I am He." Here the latter fubstantive is in the nominative case, as well as the former; and the verb is said to govern the nominative case: or, the latter substantive may be faid to agree in case with the former.

3d Phrase: The adjective after a verb neuter or passive, in like manner: as, "Life is short, and Art is long." "Exercise is esteemed aubolesome."

4th Phrase: The substantive after a verb active, or transitive: as when one thing is said to act upon, or do fomething to another: as, "to open a door;" "to build a house:" "Alexander conquered the Persians." Here the thing acted upon is in the objective [6] case; as it appears.

[6] ' For who love I fo much?' Shakespear, Merch. of Venice. Who e'er I woo, myfelf would be his wife.' Id. Twelfth Night.

Wheever the King favors, The Cardinal will find employment for, Ido Hen. VIII. And far enough from court.

Tell who loves rubo; what favors some partake,

Those rubo he thought true to his party.' Clarendon, Hist.

And who is jilted for another's sake.' Dryden, Juvenal, Sat vi.

Vol. I, p. 667, 8vo. 'Who should I meet the other night, but, my old friend?' Spect. No. 32. 'Who should I see in the lid of it, but the Doctor?' Addison, Spect. No. 57. 'Laying it, but the Doctor?' Addison, Spect. No. 57. 'Laying the suspicion upon somebody, I know not who, in the country. Swift, apology. prefixed to Tale of a Tub. In all these places it ought to be robom.

plainly when it is expressed by the pronoun, which has a proper termination for that case; "Alexander conquered them;" and the verb is said to govern the objective case.

5th Phrase: A verb following another verb; as, "boys love to play:" where the latter verb

is in the infinitive mode.

6th Phrase: When one thing is said to belong to another; as, "Milton's poems:" where the thing to which the other belongs is placed first, and is in the possession of before it; as, "the poems of Milton."

7th Phrase: When another substantive is added to express and explain the former more sully; as, "Paul the Apostle;" "King George:" where they are both in the same case; and the latter is said to be put in opposition to the former.

8th Phrase: When the quality of the substantive is expressed by adding an adjective to it: as, "a wife man;" "a black horse." Participles have the nature of adjectives; as, "a learned man;" "a loving father."

9th Phrase: An adjective with a verb in the infinitive mode following it: as, "worthy to die;" "fit to be trusted."

to a verb, or to an adjective, by an adverb: as, "you read well;" "he is very prudent."

11th Phrase: When a circumstance is added to a verb or an adjective by a substantive with a preposition position before it: as, "I write for you;" "he reads with care;" "studious of praise;" "ready for mischief."

12th Phrase: When the same quality in different subjects is compared; the adjective in the positive having after it the conjunction as, in the comparative the conjunction than, and in the superlative the preposition of; as, "white as snow;" wifer than I;" "greatest of all."

The PRINCIPAL PARTS of a simple sentence are the agent, the attribute, and the object. The agent is the thing chiefly spoken of; the attribute is the thing or action affirmed or denied of it; and the object is the thing affected by such action.

In English the nominative case, denoting the agent, usually goes before the verb, or attribution; and the objective case, denoting the object, follows the verb active; and it is the order, that determines the cases in nouns: as, " Alexander conquered the Persians." But the pronoun, having a proper form for each of those cases, sometimes, when it is in the objective case, is placed before the verb; and, when it is in the nominative case, follows the object and verb: as, "Whom ye ignorantly worship, him declare I unto you." And the nominative case is sometimes placed after a verb neuter: as, "Upon thy right hand did fiand the Queen:" " On a fudden appeared the King." And always, when the verb is accompanied with the adverb there: as, "There was a man;" The reason of it is plain: the neuter verb not admitting of an objective case after it, no ambiguity of case can arise from such a position of the noun: and where no inconvenience attends it, variety itself is pleasing. [7]

Who, which, what, and the relative that, though in the objective case, are always placed before the verb; as are also their compounds, whoever, who-soever, &c. as, "He whom you seek." "This is what, or the thing which, or that, you want."

"Whomfoever you please to appoint."

When the verb is a passive, the agent and object change places in the sentence; and the thing acted upon is in the nominative case, and the agent is accompanied with a preposition: as, "The Per-

sians were conquered by Alexander."

The action expressed by a neuter verb being confined within the agent, such verb cannot admit of an objective case after it, denoting a person or thing, as the object of action. Whenever a noun is immediately annexed to a preceding neuter verb, it expresses either the same notion with the verb; as, to dream a dream; to live a virtuous life: or

[7] 'It must then he meant of his sins who makes, not of his who becomes, the convert. Atterbury, Sermons, I. 2. 'In him who is, and him who finds, a friend.'

Pope, Essay on Man.

Eye bath not feen, nor ear beard neither bave entered into the heart of man, the things which God hath prepared for them that love him.' I Cor. ii. 9.

There feems to be an impropriety in these sentences, in which the same noun stands in a double capacity, performing at the same time the offices both of the nominative and objective case.

denotes only the circumstance of the action, a preposition being understood; as, to sleep all night, that is, through all the night; to walk a mile, that is, through the space of a mile.

For the fame reason, a neuter verb cannot become a passive. In a neuter verb, the agent and object are the same, and cannot be separated even in imagination: as in the examples, to steep, to walk; but when the verb is passive, one thing is acted upon by another, really, or by supposition different from it. [8]

A noun of multitude, [9] or fignifying many, may have the verb and pronoun agreeing with it either

[8] That fome neuter verbs take a passive form, but without a passive signification, has been observed above; see p. 48. Here . I fpeak of their becoming both in form and fignification passive : and shall endeavor further to illustrate the rule by example. To felit, like many other English verbs, hath both an active and a neuter fignification: According to the former we fay, 'The force of gunpowder split the rock :' according to the latter, 'The thip fplit upon the rock: And converting the verb active into a passive, we may say, ' The rock was split by the force of gunpowder;' or, ' The ship was split upon the rock.' But we cannot say with any propriety, turning the verb neuter into a paffive by invertion of the fentence, 'The rock was split upon by the ship;' as in the passage following: What success these labours of mine have had, he knows belt, for whose glory they were designed. It will be one fure and comfortable fign to me, that they have had some, if it shall appear, that the words I have spoken to you to-day, are not in vain: If they shall prevail with you in any measure to avoid those rocks which are usually split upon in clections, where multitudes of different inclinations, capacities and judgments, are interested.' Atterbury, Sermons, IV. 12.

[9] And restore to his island, that tranquillity and repose, to which they had been strangers during his absence. Pope, differtation prefixed to the Odyssey. Island is not a noun of multitude; it ought to be his seaple; or, it had been a stranger. What tea-

fon

either in the fingular or plural number; yet not without regard to the import of the word, as conveying unity or plurality of idea: as, "My people is foolish; they have not known me." Jer. iv. 22. "The affembly of the wicked have inclosed me." Pfal. xxii. 16. perhaps more properly than "hath enclosed me." "The affembly was very numerous:" much more properly, than, "were very numerous."

Two or more nouns in the fingular number; joined together by one or more copulative conjunctions, [1] have verbs, nouns, and pronouns, agreeing with them in the plural number: as, "Socrates and Plato were wife; they were the most eminent Philosophers of Greece." But sometimes, after an enumeration of particulars thus connected, the verb follows in the fingular number; and is understood as applied to each of the preceding terms: as, "The glorious inhabitants of those facred palaces, where nothing but light

fon bave the church of Rome to talk of modesty in this case?' Tillotson, Serm. I. 49. 'There is indeed no constitution so tame and careless of their own desence, where any person dares to give the least sign or intimation of being a traitor in heart.' Addison, Freeholder, No. 52. 'All the virtues of mankind are to be counted upon a sew singers, but his follies and vices are innumerable.' Swift, Presace to Tale of a Tub. Is not mankind in this place a noun of multitude, and such as require the pronoun referring to it to be in the plural number, their?

<sup>[1]</sup> The conjunction disjunctive hath a contrary effect; and, as the verb, noun or pronoun, is referred to the preceding terms taken feparately, it must be in the singular number. The following sentence is faulty in this respect: 'A man may see a metaphor, or an allegory, in a picture, as well as read them (it) in a description.' Addison, Dial. I. on Medals.

and blessed immortality, no shadow of matter for tears, discontentments, griefs, and uncomfortable passions to work upon; but all joy, tranquillity, and peace, even for ever and ever doth dwell." Hooker, B. i. 4. "Sand, and falt, and a mass of iron, is easier to bear, than a man without understanding." Ecclus. xxii. 15. [2]

If the fingulars so joined together, are of several persons, in making the plural pronoun agree with them in person, the second person takes place of the third, and the first of both: "He and you and I won it, at the hazard of our lives:

You and be shared it between you."

The neuter pronoun it, is sometimes employed to express, 1. the subject of any discourse or enquiry: 2. the state or condition of any thing or person: 3. the thing, whatever it be, that is the cause of any effect or event; or any person considered merely as a cause, without regard to proper personality. Examples:

1. "'Twas at the royal feaft for Persia won
By Philip's godlike son."
Dryden.
It happen'd on a summer's holyday,

That to the greenwood shade, he took his way."

ss who

[1] And so was also James and John the fore of Zebedec, which were partners with Simon.' Luke v. 10. Here the two not only joined together by the conjunctive copulative, but are moreover closely connected in sense by the part of the sentence immediately following, in which the correspondent nouns and verbs are plural: the verb therefore in the singular number seems highly improper.

"Who is it in the press that calls on me?"
Shakespear, Jul. Cæs.

2. "H. How is it with you Lady?
Alas! how is it with you?"

Shakespear, Hamlet.

3. "You heard her fay herself, it was not I.—
'Twas I that kill'd her."

Shakespear, Othello.

"It rains; it shines; it thunders." From which last example, it plainly appears, that there is no such thing in English, nor indeed in any language, as a fort of verbs, which are really impersonal. The agent, or person in English, is expressed by the neuter pronoun; in some other languages it is omitted, but understood. [3]

The verb to be has always a nominative case after it; as, "it was I, and not be that did it:" unless it be in the infinitive mode; "though you

took it to be him." [4]

H<sub>2</sub> The

[3] Examples of Impropriety in the use of the neuter pro-

noun, see below, p. 110, note 1.

[4] 'Whom do men say, that I am?—But whom say ye, that I am? Matth. xvi. 13—15. So likewise Mark viii. 27—29. Luke ix. 18—20. 'Whom think ye that I am?' Acts xiii. 25. It sught in all these places to be who; which is not governed by the verb say or think, but by the verb am: or agrees in case with the pronoun I. If the verb were in the infinitive mode, it would require the objective case of the relative, agreeing with the pronoun me: 'Whom think ye, or do ye think, me to be?'

'To that, which once was thee'
It ought to be, which was thou; or, which thou wast. 'It is not me you are in love with.'' Spect. No. 290. The preposition with should govern the relative whom understood, nor the ante-

cedent me; which ought to be I.

" Art

The adverbs when, while, after, &c. being left out, the phrase is formed by the participle independently on the rest of the sentence: as, "The doors being shut, Jesus stood in the midst." This is called the case absolute. And the case is in English, always the nominative: as,

"God from the mount of Sinai, whose grey

top

Shall tremble, He descending, [5] will himself,

'Art thou proud yet?

Ay, that I am not thee.'
'Time was, when none would cry, that oaf was me:
But now you firive about your pedigree.'

'Impossible! it can't be me.' Dryden, Prologue. Swift.

[5] On which place, fays Dr. Bentley, 'The context demands that it be—Him defeending, illo defeendente.' But bim is not the ablative cafe, for the English knows no fach case; nor does bim without a preposition on any occasion, answer to the Latin ablative illo. I might, with better reason contend, that it ought to be, 'bis desending; and it would be as good grammar, and as proper English. This comes of forcing the English, under the rules of a foreign language, with which it has little concern; and this ugly and deformed fault, to use his own expression, Bentley has endeavored to impose upon Milton in several places: See P. L. vii. 15: ix. 829, 883, 1147. x. 267, 1001. On the other hand, where Milton has been really guilty of this sault, he, very inconsistently with himself, corrects him, and sets him right. His Latin grammar rules, were happily out of his head, and by a kind of vernacular infinet, (so I imagine, he would call it) be perceived that his author was wrong.

For only in destroying, I find ease To my relentless thoughts; and bim destroy'd, Or won to what may work his utter loss, For whom all this was made, all this will soon Follow, as to him link'd in weal or woe.

P. L. ix. 129.

It ought to be, 'Le destroy'd,' that is, 'Le being destroy'd.

Bentley corrects it, 'and man destroy'd.'

Archbishop

In thunder, light'ning, and loud trumpet's found.

Ordain them laws."

Milton, P. L. B. xii. 1. 227.

To before a verb, is the fign of the infinitive mode: but there are fome verbs, which have commonly other verbs following them in the infinitive mode, without the fign to: as, bid, dare, need, make, see, hear, feel; as also let, and sometimes have, not used as auxiliaries; and perhaps a few others: as, I bade him do it: you dare not do it; I faw him [6] do it; I beard him fay it." [7]

Archbishop Tillotson, has fallen into the same mistake: 'Solomon was of this mind; and I make no doubt, but he made as wife and true proverbs as any body has done fince: bim only excepted, who was a much wifer and greater man than Solomon.

Serm. I. 53-

[6] To see so many to make so little conscience of so great a sin.' Tillotson, Serm. 1, 22 'It cannot but be a delightful spectacle to God and angels, to see a young person besieged by powerful temptations on either fide, to acquit himfelf gloriously, and resolutely, to bold out against the most violent affaults: to behold one in the prime and flower of his age, that is courted by pleafures and honors, by the Devil, and all the bewitching vanities of the world, to reject all these, and to cleave stedfastly unto God.' Ib. Serm. 54. The impropriety of the phrases distinguished by Italic characters is evident. See Matth. xv. 31. What, know you not,

Being mechanical, you ought not walk, Upon a labouring day, without the fign. Of your profession?'

Shakespear, Jul. Cæs. Both grammar and custom require, ' ought not to walk.' Ought is not one of the auxiliary verbs, though often reckoned among them, that it cannot be fuch, is plain from this confideration; that, if we confult custom and our ear, it does admit of another verb immediately following it, without the preposition to.

'To wish him wrestle with affection.'

Shakespear, Much ado about Nothing.

The infinitive mode is often made absolute, or used independently of the rest of the sentence; supplying the place of the conjunction that with the subjunctive mode: as, "to confess the truth, I was in fault;" to begin with the first, "to proceed;" to conclude," that is, "that I may confess: &c."

The infinitive mode has much of the nature of a fubstantive; expressing the action itself, which the verb signifies; as the participle has the nature of an adjective. Thus the infinitive mode does the office of a substantive in different cases; in the nominative; as, "to play is pleasant:" in the objective; as, "boys love to play." In Greek it admits of the article through all its cases, with the preposition in the oblique cases: in English the article is not wanted, but the preposition may be used: "For to will is present with me; but to perform that which is good I find not." [8] "All their works they do for to be seen of men." [9]

' Nor with less dread the loud Etherial trumpet from on high 'gan blow.'

Milton, P. L. vi. 60.
These phrases are poetical, and by no means allowable in profe.

[8] Rom: vii. 18.

<sup>[9]</sup> Matth. xxiii. 5. The following fentences feem defective either in the construction, or the order of the words: Why do ye that which is not lawful to do on the sabbath days?—The shewbread, which is not lawful to eat, but for the priests alone.' Luke, vi. 2—4. The construction may be rectified, by supplying it; 'which it is not lawful to do; which it is not lawful to eat:' or the order of the words in this manner; 'to do which, to eat which, is not lawful:' Where the infinitive to do, to eat, does the office of the nominative case, and the relative which is in the objective case.

But the use of the preposition, in this and the like phrases, is now become obsolete.

" For not to have been dip'd in Lethe's lake Could fave the fon of Thetis from to die."

Spenfer.

Perhaps therefore the infinitive, and the participle, might be more properly called the substantive mode, and the adjective mode. [1]

The participle with a preposition before it, and still retaining its government, answers, to what is called in Latin the Gerund: as, "Happiness is to be attained, by avoiding evil, and by doing good; by seeking peace, and by pursuing it."

The participle, with an article before it, and the preposition of after it, becomes a substantive, expressing the action itself, which the verb signifies: [2] "These are the rules of Grammar, by

[1] ' Here you may fee, that visions are to dread.'

Dryden, Fables.

'I am not like other men, to envy the talents I cannot reach.'
Tale of a Tub, Preface. 'Grammarians have denied, or at least doubted, them to be genuine.' Congreve's Preface to Homer's Hymn to Venus. 'That all our doings may be ordered by thy governance, to do always that is righteous in thy fight.' Liturgy. The infinitive in these places seems to be improperly used.

[2] This rule arises from the nature and idiom of our language: and from as plain a principle, as any on which it is founded; namely, that a word, which has the article before it, and the posselfile preposition of after it, must be a noun; and it a noun, it ought to follow the construction of a noun, and not to have the regimen of a verb. It is the participial termination of this fort of words, that is apt to deceive us, and make us treat them, as if they were of an amphibious species, partly nouns, and partly verbs. I believe there are hardly any of our writers, who have not fallen into this inaccuracy. That it is such, will perhaps more clearly appear, if we examine and resolve one or two examples in this kind.

the observing of which you may avoid mistakes. Or it may be expressed by the participle, or gerund, "by observing which:" not, "by observing of which;" nor, "by the observing which: "for either of those two phrases, would be a consounding of two distinct forms.

I will add another example, and that of the best authority: "The middle station of life, feems to be the most advantageously situated for the

gaining

"God, who didft teach the hearts of thy faithful people, by the fending to them the light of thy Holy Spirit;—" Collect Whitfunday. Sending is in this place a noun; for it is accompanied with the article; nevertheless it is also a transitive verb, for it governs the noun light in the objective case; but this is inconfishent; let it be either the one or the other, and abide by its proper construction. That these participal words are sometimes real uouns is undeniable; for they have a plural number as such; as, "the outgoings of the morning." The sending is the same with the mission; which necessarily requires the preposition of after it, to mark the relation between it and the light; the mission of the light; and so, the sending of the light. The phrase would be proper either way, by keeping to the construction of the noun, by the sending of the light; or of the participle, or gerund, by sending the light.

Again:— Sent to prepare the way of thy fon our Saviour, by preaching of repentance: Collect, St. John Baptift. Here the participle, or gerund, hath as improperly the preposition of after it; and so is deprived of its verbal regimen, by which, as a transitive, it would govern the noun repentance in the objective case. Besides, the phrase is rendered obstrue and ambiguous: for the obvious meaning of it, in its present form is, 'by preaching concerning repentance, or on that subject;' whereas the sense intended is, 'by publishing the covenant of repentance, and declaring repentance to be a condition of acceptance with God.' The phrase would have been perfectly right, and determinate to this sense, either way; by the noun by the preaching of repen-

tance, or by the participle by preaching repentance.

'So well-bred spaniels civilly delight
In mumbling of the game, they dare not bite.'

Pope, Epift. to Arbuthnet.

gaining of wisdom. Poverty turns our thoughts too much upon the supplying of our wants, and riches upon enjoying our superfluities." Addison,

Spect. No. 464.

The participle frequently becomes altogether an adjective, when it is joined to a substantive merely to denote its quality; without any respect to time; expressing, not an action, but a habit; and as such, it admits of the degrees of comparifon: as, " a learned, a more learned, a most learned man; a loving, more loving, most loving father." [3]

Simple sentences are, 1. Explicative, or explaining: 2. Interrogative, or asking: 3. Imperative, or commanding. [4]

[3] In a sew instances the active present participle hath been vulgarly used in a passive sense, as, bebolding for beholden: owing overn. And some of our writers are not quite spee from this mistake:

I would not be beholding to fortune for any part of the victory. Sidney.

I teach you all, what's owing to your queen.' Dryden. . The debt, owing from one country to the other, cannot be paid

without real effects fent thither to that value.' We have the means in our hands, and nothing but the application of them is wanting.' Addison.

So likewise the passive participle is often employed in an active

sense, in the word mistaken, used instead of mistaking : You are too much mistaken in this king.

Shakespear, Henry V.

'I mistake;' or, 'I am mistaken;' means, 'I misunderstand;' but, 'I am mistaken,' means properly, 'I am misunderstood.'

[4] These are the three primary modes, or manners of expressing our thoughts concerning the being, doing or suffering of a thing. If it comes within our knowledge, we explain it, or make a declaration of it; if we are ignorant of it, or doubtful, we make an enquiry about it; if it is not immediately in our power, we express our defire or will concerning it. In Theory, therefore

1. An explicative fentence is, when a thing is faid to be, or not to be; to do, or not to do; to fuffer, or not to fuffer; in a direct manner: as in the foregoing examples. If the fentence be negative, the adverb not is placed after the auxiliary; or after the adverb itself, when it has no auxiliary: as, "It did not touch him;" or "it touched him not." [5]

2. In an interrogative fentence, or when a question is asked, the nominative case follows the principal verb, or the auxiliary: as, "was it he?" "did Alexander conquer the Persians?" And the adverb there, accompanying the verb neuter, is also placed after the verb: as, "was there a man?" So that the question depends intirely on the order of the words. [6]

therefore, the interrogative form feems to have as good a title to a mode of its own, as either of the other two, but practice hath determined it otherwife; and has, in all the languages with which we are much acquainted, supplied the place of an interrogative mode, either by particles of interrogation, or by a peculiar order of the words in the fentence. If it be true, as I have fomewhere read, that the modes of the verbs, are more numerous in the Lapland tongue, than in any other, possibly the Laplanders may be provided with an interrogative mode.

[5] 'The burning lever not deludes his pains.'

Dryden, Ovid Metam. B. xii.

I hope, my Lord, faid he, I not offend.' Dryden, Fables.
These examples make the impropriety of placing the adverb not before the verb very evident. Shakespear frequently places the negative before the verb:

She not denies it.' Much ado.

' For men

Can counfel, and give comfort to that grief,
Which they themfelves not feel.'

It feems therefore, as if this order of words had antiently been much in ufe, though now grown altogether obfolete.

[6] Did he not fear the Lord, and befought the Lord, and the Lord repented him of the evil which he had pronounced against

3. In an imperative fentence, when a thing is commanded to be, to do, to fuffer, or not; the nominative case follows the verb, or the auxiliary: as, "Go, thou traitor;" or, "do thou go:" or the auxiliary let, with the objective [7] case after it, is used: as, "Let us be gone." [8]

I The

them? Jer. xxvi. 19. Here the interrogative and explicative forms are confounded. It ought to be, 'Did he not fear the Lord, and befeech the Lord? and did not the Lord repent him of the evil?' If a man have an hundred sheep, and one of them be gone astray, doth he not leave the ninety and nine, and goeth into the mountains, and feeketh that which is gone astray? Mat. xviii. 12. It ought to be go and feek; that is, doth he not go and feek that which is gone astray?

[7] 'For ever in this humble cell

Let Thee and I, my fair one, dwell.'
It ought to be me.

Prior.

6 Friend

[8] It is not easy to give particular rules for the management of the modes and times of verbs, with respect to one another, so that they may be proper and confisent; nor would it be of much use: for the best rule that can be given is this very general one, to observe what the sense necessarily requires. But it may be of use to consider one or two examples, that seem faulty in these respects; and to examine where the fault lies.

' Some who the depth of eloquence have found, In that unnavigable fream were drown'd.'

Dryden, Juv. Sat. x.

The event mentioned in the first line is plainly prior in time to that mentioned in the second; this is subsequent to that, and a consequence of it. The first event is mentioned in the present persect time; it is present and completed; they bave (now) found the depth of eloquence. The second event is expressed in the past indefinite time; it is past and gone, but when it happened uncertain: 'they were drown'd.' We observed, that the last mentioned event is subsequent to the first: but how can the past time be subsequent to the present? It therefore ought to be, in the second line, are, or bave been, drowned, in the present indefinite, or perfect; which is consistent with the present perfect time in the first line: or, in the first line bad found in the past perfect; which would be consistent with the past indefinite in the second line.

The Adjective in English, having no variation of gender or number, cannot but agree with the ... Substantive

Friend to my life, which did not you prolong. The world had wanted many an idle fong.'

Pope, Epistle to Arbuthpot.

It ought to be, either had not you prolonged; or, would want.

There feems to be a fault of the like nature in the following paffage:

But oh! 'treas little that her life

O'er earth and waters bears thy fame :--Prior. It ought to be bore, in the second line.

Again,

Him portion'd maids, apprentic'd orphans bleft, The young who labour, and the old who reft.'

Pope, Moral Ep. iii. 267.

- " Fierce as he mov'd; his filver fhafts refound."

The first verb ought to be in the same time with the following: "Great Queen of arms, whose favor Tydeus won,

As thou defend'ft the fire, defend the fon."

Pope, Iliad, x. 337.

It ought to be defendedft . . . .

' Had their records been delivered down in the vulgar tongue. they could not now be understood, unless by antiquaries, who made it their chief study to expound them.' Swift, Letters on the English Tongue. Here the Letter part of the sentence depends intirely on the Supposition expressed in the former, of their records being delivered down in the vulgar tongue : therefore made in the indicative mode, which implies no supposition, and in the past indefinite time is improper: It would be much better in the past definite and perfect, bad made; but indeed ought to be in the subjunctive mode, present or past time, should make, or should have made.

And Jefus answered, and faid unto him, What wilt thou that I should do unto thee? The blind man said unto him, Lord, that I might receive my sight.' Mark x. 51. 'That I may know him, and the power of his refurrection, and the fellowthip of his fufferings, being made conformable unto his death; if by any means I might attain unto the referrection of the dead. Phil. iii. 10-11. It ought to be may in both places. See also John ix. 39. Ephes. iii. 19. Col. i. 9-10.

On the morrow, because he would have known the certainty, wherefore he was accused of the Jews, he loofed him.' Acts xxii. 30. It ought to be because he would know; or rather, being willing to know.

fubstantive in those respects; some of the pronominal adjectives only excepted, which have the plural number: as, these, those: which must agree in number [9] with their substantives.

Nouns

'I thought to have written last week;' is a very common phrase: the infinitive being in the past time as well as the verb, which it follows. But it is certainly-vicious; for how long soever it now is since I thought, to write was then present to me; and must still be considered as present, when I bring back that time, and the thoughts of it. It ought to be therefore, 'I thought to write last week.' 'I cannot excuse the remissions of those, whose business it should have been, as it certainly was their interest, to have interposed their good offices.' Swift. 'There were two circumstances, which would have made it necessary for them to have information.' History painters would have found it distinct, to have invented such a species of beings.' Addison, Dial, I. on Medals. It ought to be, to interpose, to lose, to invente.

[9] By this means thou shalt have no portion on this side the river.' Ezra iv. 16. 'It renders us careless of approving our-felves to God by religious duties, and by that means securing the continuance of his goodness.' Atterbury, Sermons. Ought it not to be, by these means, by those means or by this mean, by that mean, in the singular number? as it is used by Hooker, Sidney,

Shakespear, &c.

We have strict statutes, and most biting laws, Which for this nineteen years we have let sleep.

Shikespear, Meas. for Meas.

'I have not wept this forty years.' Dryden. 'It I had not left off troubling myself about those kind of things.' Swift, Letter to Steel. 'I fancy they are these kind of Gods, which Horace mentions in his allegorical vessel.' Addison, Dial. II. on Medals. 'I am not recommending these kind of sufferings to your liking.' Bishop Sherlock, Dise. Vol. II. II. So the pronoun must agree with its noun: in which respect let the following example be considered. 'It is an unanswerable argument of a very resined age, the wondersul civilities that have passed between the nation of authors and that of readers,' Swift, Tale of a Tub, Sect. x. As to these wondersul civilities, one might say, that 'they are an unanswerable argument, &c.' but as the sentence stands at present it is not vasy to reconcile it to any grammatical propriety. 'A person (that is, one) whom all the world allows to be so much your besters.' Swift, Battle of Books. 'His face was easily taken

Nouns of measure and number are sometimes joined in the fingular form with numeral adjectives denoting plurality: as. "Fifty foot;" "Six fcore."

" Ten thousand fathom deep."

Milton, P. L. ii. 934.

" A hundred head of Aristotle's friends."

Pope, Dunciad, iv. 192.

Pepc.

Frior.

The adjective generally goes before the noun; as, "a wife man; a good horse;" unless something depend on the adjective; as, " food convenient for me:" or the adjective be emphatical; as, " Alexander the Great:" and it stands immediately before the noun, unless the verb to be, or any auxiliary joined to it, come between the adjective and the noun; as, "happy is the man; happy shall he be." And the article goes before the adjective; except the adjectives all, such, and many, and others subjoined to the adverbs, fo, as, and how; "as, all the men;" "fuch a man;" many a man;" " so good a man;" " as good a man as ever lived;" " how beautiful a prospect is here!" And fometimes, when there are two or more

taken either in painting or sculpture; and scarce any one, though never so indifferently skilled in their art, failed to hit it.' Welwoods's Memoirs, p. 68. 6th Edit. And the phrase which occurs in the following examples, though pretty common and authorized by custom, yet feems to be somewhat defective in the

"Tis thefe, that early taint the female foul."

"Tis they, that give the great Atrides' spoils;

'Tis they, that still renew Ulysses' toils. ' Who was't came by?

'Tis true or three, my Lord, that bring you word, Shakespear, Mach. Macduff is fled to England.

more adjectives joined to the noun, the adjectives follow the noun: as, "a man learned and religious."

There are certain adjectives, which feem to be derived without any variation from verbs, and have the fame fignification with the passive participles of their verbs: they are indeed no other than Latin passive participles adapted to the English termination: as, annihilate, contaminate, elate;

'To destruction facred and devotes!' Milton

"The alien compost is exhauft."

Philips, Cyder-

These (some few excepted, which have gained admission into common discourse,) are much more frequently, and more allowably, used in poetry, than in prose. [1]

The distributive pronominal adjectives each, every, either, agree with the nouns, pronouns, and verbs of the singular number only: [2] as,

[2] 'Let each esteem other better than themselves.' Phil. ii. 3. It ought to be, bimself. 'It is requisite, that the language of an heroic poem should be both perspicuous and subline. In proportion as either of these two qualities are [is] wanting, the language is impersect.' Addison, Spect. No. 285. 'Tis observa-

ove

<sup>[1]</sup> Adjectives of this fort are fometimes very improperly:

Most, with the auxiliary bave, or bad, instead of the active perfect participle: as, "Which also king David did dedicate unto
the Lord, with the silver and gold that he bad dedicate unto
the Lord, with the filver and gold that he bad dedicate of all
nations which he subdued." 2 Sant. viii. 11. "And Jehoash
took all the hallowed things, that—his fathers, kings of Judah,
bad dedicate." 2 Kings, xii. 18. So likewise Dan. iii. 19. It
ought to be, bad dedicated. "When both interests of tyranny
and episcopacy were incorporate into each other." Milton, Eiconoclast, xvii.

"The king of Israel and Jehosaphat the king of Judah sat, each (king) on his throne, having (both) put on their robes." I Kings, xxii. 10. "Every tree is known by his own fruit." Ituke vi. 44.

"Lepidus flatters both, Of both is flatter'd; but he neither loves, Nor either cares for him."

Shakespear, Ant. and Cleope Unless the plural noun convey a collective idea as, "That every twelve years there should be set forth two ships."

Bacon.

Every verb, except in the infinitive, or the participle, hath its nominative case, either express-

ed or implied :-[3] as,

Awake,

ble, that every one of the letters bear date after his banishment; and contain a complete narrative of all his story afterwards. Bentley, Differt, on Themistocle's Enistles, Sect. ii. It ought

to be bears, and they contain.

Either is often used improperly instead of each: as, 'The king of Israel, and Jehosaphat king of Judah sat either [each] of them on his throne.' 2 Chron. xviii. 9. 'Nadab and Abihu, the sons of Aaron, took either [each] of them his censer. Lev. x. I. See also 1 Kings, vii. 15. Each signifies both of them, taken distinctly, or separately: either properly signifies only the one, or the other, of them, taken disjunctively. For which reason the like expression in the following passages seems also improper: 'They crucified two other with him, on either side one, and Jesus in the midst.' John xix. 18. 'Of either side of the river was there the tree of life.' Rev. xxii. 2. See also I Kings, x. 19. 'Proposals for a truce between the ladies of either party.' Addison, Freeholder. Contents of No. 38.

[3]. Forasmuch as it hath pleased Almighty God of his good-ness to give you safe deliverance, and bath proserved you in the great danger of childbirth. Liturgy. The verb, bath preserved, both here no nominative case; for it cannot be properly supplied by the preceding word God, which is in the objective case. It ought to be, 'and be bath preserved you;' or rather, 'and to pre-

"Awake, arise, or be for ever fall'n ?"

that is, ' Awake ye, &c.'

Every nominative case, except the case absolute, and when an address is made to a person, belongs to some verb, either expressed or implied: [4] as

ferve you? Some of our best writers have frequently fallen into this, which appears to me to be no fmall inaccuracy: I shall therefore add fome more examples of it, by way of admonition; inferting in each, within crotchets, the nominative case that is deficient, and that must necessarily be supplied to support the proper construction of the sentence. 'If the calm, in which ha was born, and [which] lasted so long, had continued.' Clarendon, Life, p. 43. 'The remonstrance he had lately received from the House of Commons, and [which] was dispersed throughout the kingdom.' Clarendon, Hift. Vol. I. p. 366. 8vo. 'Thefe we have extracted from an historian of undoubted credit, a reverend bishop, the learned Paulus Jovius; and [they] are the fame that were practifed under the pontificate of Leo. X. Pope, Works, Vol. VI, p. 30r. 'A cloud-gathering in the north; which we have helped to raife, and [which] may quickly break in a storm upon our heads.' Swift, Conduct of the Allies. 'A man, whose inclinations led him to be corrupt, and [who] had great, abilities to manage and multiply and defend his corruptions. Gulliver, Part I. Chap. vi. 'My master likewise mentioned another quality, which his servants had discovered in many Yahoos, and [which] to him was wholly unaccountable. Gulliver, Part IV. Chap. vii. 'This I filled with the feathers of feveral birds I had taken with fpringes made of Yahoos hairs, and [which] were excellent food, Ibid. Chap, x. 'Ofiris, whom the Grecians call Dionysius, and [who] is the same with Bacchus. Swift, Mechan. Oper. of the Spirit, Sect. ii.

"Which Homer-might without a blush rehearse, And leaves a doubtful palm in Virgil's verse."

Dryden, Fables, Dedication.

Will martial flames for ever fire thy mind,

And never, never be to Heav'n refign'd? Odyffey, xii. 145.

' And will [it, thy mind,] never-

[4] Which rule, if it had been observed, a neighboring prince would have wanted a great deal of that incense which hath been offered up to him by his adorers.' Atterbury, Serm. I. 1. The pronoun it is here the nominative case to the verb observed; and

in the answer to a question: "Who wrote this book? Cicero:" that is, "Cicero wrote it." Or when the verb is understood; as,

"To whom thus Adam :'

that is, Spake.

Every possessive case supposes some noun, towhich it belongs: as when we fav, "St. Paul's, or St. James's," we mean St. Paul's church, or St. Tames's palace.

Every adjective has relation to fome fubstantive,. either expressed or implied : as, "The Twelve," that is, Apostles; "the wife, the elect," that is,

per fons.

In some instances the adjective becomes a substantive, and has an adjective joined to it: as, "the chief good;" "Evil be thou my good!"[5]

which rule is left by itself, a nominative case without any verb following it. This manner of expression, however improper, is very common. It ought to be, 'If this rule had been observed, &c.' 'We have no better materials to compound the priesthood of, than the mass of mankind: which, corrupted as it is, those who receive orders must have some vices to leave behind them, when they enter into the church.' Swift, Sentiments of a

Church of Englandman.

[5] Adjectives are fometimes employed as adverbs: improperly, and not agreeably to the genius of the English language. As, ' indifferent honest, excellent well. Shakespear, Hamlet, Extreme elaborate.' Dryden, Effay on Dram. Poet. Marvellous graceful.' Clarendon, Life, p. 18. 'Morvellous worthy to be praifed.' Pfal. cxlv. 3. for fo the translators gave it. 'Extreme unwilling; extreme subject.' Swift, Tale of a Tub, and Battle of Books. 'He behaved himself conformable to that blessed example.' Sprat's Sermons, p. 80. 'I shall endeavor to live hereaster suitable to a man in my station.' Addison, Spect. No. 530. 'The Queen having changed her ministry fuitable

## In others, the substantive, becomes an adjective

or

fuitable to her own wifdom.' Swift, Fxam. No. 21. 'The affertions of this author are easier detected.' Swift, Public Spirit of the Whigs. 'The characteristic of his seet allowed him to affirm no fronzer than that.' Bentley, Phil. Lips. Remark LIII. 'If one author had spoken nobler and lostier than another.' Ibid. 'Kenophen seys express.' Ibid. Remark XLV. 'Iran neverthink so very mean of him.' Id. Differtation on Phalaris, p. 24. 'Homer describes this river agreeably to the vulgar reading.' Pope, Note on Iliad. ii. ver. 1032. So exceeding, so exceedingly, however improper, occurs frequently in the vulgar translation of the Bible, and has obtained in common discourse. 'We should live soberly, righteously, and godly in this present world.' Tit. ii. 12. See also 2 Tim. iii. 12. 'To convince all that are ungodly among them, of all their ungodly deeds, which they have ungoally committed.' Jude 15. 'I think it very masserly written.' Swift to Pope, Let. 1xiv.

'O Liberty, thou Goddess beavenly bright.' Addison. The termination ly, being a contraction of like, expresses similitude or manner; and being added to nouns, forms adjectives; and added to adjectives, forms adverbs. But adverbs expressing similitude or manner, cannot be so formed from nouns: the sew adverbs, that are so formed, have a very different import: as, daily, yearly; that is, day by day, year by year. Early, both adjective and adverb, is formed from the Saxon preposition er, before. The adverbs therefore above noted are not agreeable to the analogy of formation established in our language, which requires godlily, ungodlily, beavensily; but these are dilagreeable to the ear, and therefore could never gain admittance into common

The word lively used as an adverb, instead of livelily is liable to the same objection; and, not being so familiar to the ear, immediately offends it. 'That part of poetry must needs be best, which describes most lively our actions and passions, our virtues and our vices,' Dryden, Pres. to State of Innocence. 'The whole design must refer to the golden age, which it lively repre-

fents.' Addison, on Medals. Dial. II.

ufe.

On the other hand, an adverb is improperly used as an adjective in the sollowing passages. 'We may cast in such seeds and principles, as we judge most likely to take four state and deepest root.' Tillotson, Vol. I. Serm. 52. 'After these wars of which they hope for a son and prosperous iffue. Sidney. 'Use a little wine for thy stomach's sake, and thine often infirmities.' 1 Tim. v. 23. Unless son and often were formerly adjectives, though now wholly obsolete in that form. See Johnson's Dictionary; oftentimes and sonly

or supplies its place; being prefixed to another substantive, and linked to it by a mark of conjunction: as, "fea-water; land-tortoife; forest-tree."

Adverss have no government. [6]

The Adverb, as its name imports, is generally placed close or near to the word, which it modifies or affects; and its propriety and force depend on its position. [7] Its place for the most part is before adjectives; after verbs active or neuter; and it frequently stands between the auxiliary and the verb: as, "He made a very elegant harangue; he spake unaffectedly and forcibly; and was attentively heard by the whole audience."

Two negatives in English destroy one another, or are equivalent to an affirmative: [8] as,

Nor

[6] 'How much foever the reformation of this corrupt and degenerate age is almost utterly to be despaired of, we may yet have a more comfortable prospect of surure times.' 'Tillotson, I. Presetto Serm. 49. The first part of this sentence abounds with adverbs, and those such as are hardly consistent with one another.

[7] Thus it is commonly faid, 'I only fpake three words: when the intention of the fpeaker-manifeltly requires, 'I spake only

three words."

"Her body shaded with a slight cymarr, Her bosom to the view was only bare."

Dryden, Cymon and Iphig.

The fense necessarily requires this order,

'Her befom only to the view was bare.'

[8] The following are examples of the contrary:

Nor let no comforter delight mine ear.'

Shakespear, Much ado.

She cannot love,

Nor take no shape nor project of affection.'

Shakespear uses this construction frequently. It is a relique of the ancient style, abounding with negatives; which is now grown wholly obsolete:

And the

Nor did they not perceive the evil plight
In which they were, or the herce pains not feel.'
Milton, P. L. i. 335.

PREPOSITIONS have a government of cases; and in English they always require the objective case after them: as, with him; from her; to me. [0]

The preposition is often separated from the relative which it governs, and joined to the verb at the end of the sentence, or of some member of it: as, "Horace is an author, whom I am much delighted with." "The [1] world is too wellbred, to shock authors with a truth, which generally their booksellers are the first that inform them of." This is an idiom, which our language is strongly inclined to: it prevails in common conversation, and suits very well with the samiliar,

ftyle

And of his port as make as is a maid, He never yet no villainy ne faid

In all his life unto no manner wight :

He was a very parfit gentil knight, 'Chaucer.
'I cannot by no means allow him, that this argument must prove.'
Bentley, Differt. on Phalaris, p. 515. 'That we need not, nor do not, confine the purposes of God.' Id. Sermon 8.

[9] 'Who servest thou under?' Shakespear, Hen. V.
'Who do you speak to?' As you like it.

'Ill tell you, who time ambles withal, who time trots withal who time gallops withal, and who he stands still withal.'
'I pr'ythee, whom doth he trot withal?'
Ibid.

We are still much at a loss, who civil power belongs to.

In all these places, it ought to be wbom.

' Now Margaret's curfe is fall'n upon our heads, When she exclaim'd on Hastings, you, and I.'

Shakespear, Rich. III.

It ought to be me.
[1] Pope, Preface to his poems.

style in writing: but the placing of the preposition before the relative, is more graceful, as well as more perspicuous; and agrees much better with the folemn and elevated style. [2]

Verbs are often compounded of a verb and a preposition; as, to uphold, to outweigh, to overlook: and this composition sometimes gives a new sense to the verb; as, to understand, to withdraw, to forgive. [3] But in English the preposition is more frequently placed after the verb, and separate from it, like an adverb; in which fituation it is no less apt to affect the fense of it, and to give it a new meaning; and may still be considered as belonging to the verb, and as a part of it. As, to cast is, to throw; but to cast up, or to compute an account, is quite a different thing: thus, to fall on, to bear out, to give over, &c. So that the meaning of the verb, and the propriety of the phrase, depend on the preposition subjoined. [4]

[3] With in composition retains the fignification which it has among others in the Saxon, of from and against: as, to withhold, to withfland. So also for has a negative fignification from the

<sup>[2]</sup> Some writers separate the preposition from its noun, in order to connect different prepositions with the same noun; as, 'To suppose the zodiac and planets to be efficient of, and antecedent to, themselves.' Bentley, Serm. 6. Thus, whether in the familiar or the folemn style, is always inclegant; and should never be admitted, but in forms of law, and the like; where fulness and exactness of expression must take place of every other consideration.

Saxon: as, to forbid, forbeodan; to forget, forgitan.

[4] Examples of impropriety in the use of the preposition, in phrases of this kind. 'Your character, which I, or any other writer, may now value ourselves by (upon) drawing.' Swift, Letter

As the preposition subjoined to the verb hath the construction and nature of an adverb, so the K adverbs

Letter on the English Tongue. 'You have bestowed your favors to (upon) the most deserving persons.' Ibid. 'Upon such occafions as fell into (under) their cognizance.' Swift, Contests and Diffensions, &c. chap. ii. 'That variety of factions into (in) which we are still engaged.' Ibid. chap. v. 'To restore my. felf into (to) the good graces of my fair critics.' Dryden's Pref. to Aureng. 'Accused the ministers for (of) betraying the Dutch.' Swift, Four last years of the Queen, Book ii. 'Ovid, whom you accuse for (of) luxuriancy of verse.' Dryden, on Dram. Poefy. 'The people of England may congratulate to them-felves, that —.' Dryden. 'Something like this, has been reproached to Tacitus.' Bolingbroke on History, Vol. I. p. 136. 'He was made much on (of) at Argos.'- He is refolved of (on) going to the Persian court.' Bentley, Differt, on Themistocles's Epistles, Sect. iii. 'Neither, the one nor the other shall make me swerve out of (from) the path, which I have traced to nryfels.' Bolingbroke, Letter to Wyndham, p. 252.

And virgins smil'd at what they blush'd before :' what they blush'd. (at) Pope, Effay on Crit. They are now reconciled by a zeal for their cause, to what they could not be prompted (to) by a concern for their beauty.' Ad dison, Spect. No. 81. 'If policy can prevail upon (over) force.' Addison, Travels, p. 62. 'I do likewise dissent with (from) the Examiner.' Addison, Whig Exam. No. 1. 'Ye blind guides, which strain at a gnat, and swallow a camel.' Matt. xxiii. 24. Which Arain out, or take a gnat out of the liquor by Araining it:' the impropriety of the preposition has wholly destroyed the meaning of the phrase. Observe also, that the noun generally requires after it, the same preposition, as the verb from which it is formed: 'It was perfectly in compliance to (with) fome per-fon, for whose opinion I have great deference.' Swift, Preface to Temple's Memoirs. 'Not from any personal hatred to them, but in justification to (of) the best of Queens.' Swift, Examiner, No. 23. In the last example, the verb being transitive, and requiring the objective case, the noun formed from it, feems to require the possessive case, or its preposition after it. Or perhaps be meant to say, 'In justice to the best of Queens.' 'The wisest Princes need not think it any diminution to (of) their greatness, or derogation to (from) their fufficiency, to rely upon council. Bacon, Effry xx. 'No discouragement for the authors to proceed.' Tale of a Tub, Pref. 'A ftrict observance after time?

adverbs here, there, where, with a preposition subjoined, as hereof, therewith, whereupon, [5] have the construction and nature of pronouns.

The prepositions to and for are often understood chiefly before the pronoun; as, "give me the book; get me some paper;" that is, to me, for me.

[6] The

and fashions.' Ibid. Sect ii. 'Which had a much greater share of inciting him, than any regards after his father's commands.' Ibid. Sect vi. So the noun averfion, (that is, a turning away) as likewife the adjective averse, seems to require the preposition from after it; and not so properly to admit of to, or for, which

are often used with it.

[5] Thefe are much disused in common discourse, and are retained only in the solemn, or formulary style. 'They (our authors) have of late, 'tis true, resormed in some measure the gouty joints, and darning works of whereunto's, whereby's, thereof's, therewith's, and the rest of this kind; by which complicated periods are so curiously strong, or hooked on, one to another, after the longspun manner of the bar or pulpit.' Lord Shastefbury, Miscel. V.

these, masculine; thar, these, or these, seminine Handick. Hence, perhaps, thereof, therewith, &c. of, with them; and so,

by analogy, the rest of this class of words.

[6] Or in these and the like phrases, may not me, thee, him, her, us, which in Saxon, are the dative cases of their respective pronouns, be considered as still continuing such in the English, and including in their very form the force of the prepositions to and for? There are certainly some other phrases, which are to be resolved in this manner: 'Wo is me!' The phrase is pure Saxon: Wa is me:' me is the dative case: in English, with the preposition, to me. So, 'methinks;' Saxon, 'me thinch.' 'As us thoughts:' Sir John Maundevylle. 'Methoughts, this short interval of silence has had more music in it, than any of the same space of time before or after it.' Addison, Tatler, No. 133. See also Speck. No. 63. It ought to be, methought. 'The Lord do that, which feemeth him good.' 1. Sam. x. 12. See also, I Sam. iii. 18, 2 Sam xviii, 4. 'O well is bee!' Psal. xxxviii. 2. 'Wel his the, id est, bene est tibi.' Simeon Dunclem,

The preposition in or on, is often understood before nouns expressing time; as, this day; next month; last year; that is, "on this day;" "in next month;" "in last year."

In poetry, the common order of words is frequently inverted; in all ways, in which it may be

done without ambiguity or obscurity.

Two or more simple fentences, joined together by one or more connective words, become a compounded fentence.

There are two forts of words, which connect fentences. I. relatives; 2. conjunctions.

Examples: 1. "Bleffed is the man, who feareth the Lord." 2. "Life is short, and art is long." 1. and 2. "Bleffed is the man, who feareth the Lord, and keepeth his commandments."

The relatives who, which, that, having no variation of gender or number, cannot but agree with their antecedents. Who is appropriated to perfons; and fo may be accounted masculine and feminine only: we apply which now to things only: and to irrational animals, excluding them

from

elm. apud X. Scriptores, col. 135° 'Wel is bim that ther mai be.' Anglo-Saxon Poem in Hickes's Thefaur. Vol. I. p. 231. 'Well is bim that dwelleth with a wife of understanding.'— 'Well is bim that hath found prudence.' Ecclus. xxv. 8, 9. The translator thought to correct his phrase afterward; and so hath made it neither Saxon nor English: 'Well is be, that is defended from it.' Ecclus xxxviii. 19. 'Wo worth the day!' Ezek. xxx: 2. that is. Wo be to the day. The word evert bis not the adjective, but the Saxon verb excertban, or worthan, fieri, to be, to become; which is often used by Chaucer, and is still retained as an auxiliary verb in the German language.

from personality, without any consideration of sex: which therefore may be accounted neuter. But formerly they were both indifferently used of persons: "Our Father which art in heaven." That is used indifferently both of persons and things: but perhaps would be more properly confined to the latter. What includes both the antecedent and the relative: as, "This was what he wanted;" that is, "the thing which he wanted. [7]

The relative is the nominative case to the verh, when no other nominative comes between it and the verb: but when another nominative comes between it and the verb, the relative is governed by some word in its own member of the sentence: as, "The God, who preserveth me; whose I am, and whom I serve." [8]

[7] That hath been used in the same manner as including the relative which; but it is either improper, or obsolete: as, 'To consider advisedly of that is moved.' Bacon, Essay xxii. 'We speak that we do know, and testify that we have seen.' John iii. 11. So likewise the neuter pronoun it: as, 'By this also, a man may understand, when it is, that men may be said to be conquered; and in what the nature of conquest and the right of a conqueror consistent: for this submission is it (that which) implyeth them all.' Hobbes, Leviathan, Couclusion. "And this is it (that which) men mean by distributive justice, and (which) is properly termed equity.' Hobbes, Elements of Law, Part 1. chap, iv. 2.

[8] 'Wbo, instead of going about doing good, they are perpetually intent upon doing mischief.' Tillotion, Serm. I. 18. The nominative case they in this sentence is superfluous: it was expersive before in the relative who. 'Commend me to an argument that, like a stail, there's no sence against it.' Bentley, Differt. on Euripedes's Epistles, sect. i. If that be designed by the relative, it ought to be which, governed by the preposition against, and it is superfluous: thus, 'against which there is no sence:' but if that be a conjunction, it ought to be in the preceding member,

" fuch an argument."

Every relative must have an antecedent to which it refers, either expressed, or understood: as, "Who steals my purse, steals trash:" that is, the man, who—

The relative is of the same person with the antecedent: and the verb agrees with it accordingly: as, "Who is this, that cometh from Edom; this, that is glorious in his apparel?—I, that speak in righteousness." Isaiah, lxiii. 1. "O Shepherd of Israel; Thou, that leadest Joseph like a slock: Thou, that dwellest between the Cherubims." Psal. lxxx. 1. [9].

K 2: When

[9] 'I am the Lord, that maketh all things; that firetabeth forth the heavens alone:' Isaiah, xliv. 24. 'Thus far is right: the Lord in the third person is the antecedeut, and the verb agrees with the relative in the third person: 'I am the Lord, which Lord, or He that, maketh all things.' Is would have been equally right, if I had been made the antecedent, and the relative and the verb had agreed with it in the first person: I am the Lord, that make all things.' But when it sollows, 'that spreadth abroad the earth by myself,' there arises a consusion of persons, and a manifelt solvessing.

' Thou great first cause, least understood! -

Who all my fenfe confin'd

To know but this, that Thou art good,

And that myfe f am blind:

Yet gave me in this dark estate, &c.' Pope, Uni. Prayer. It ought to be, confineds, or didst confine: gavest, or didst give; &c. in the second person.

' O Theu supreme! high thron'd all height above!

O great Pelafgic, Dodonean Jove!

Who 'midst furrounding frosts, and vapours chill, Prefide on bleak Dodona's vocal hill!

Pope, Iliad, xvi. 284.

Nor thou, lord Arthur, shalt escape: To thee, I often call'd in vain,

Against that assassin in crape;

When this, that, these, these, refer to a preceding sentence; this, or these, refers to the latter member or term; that, or those, to the sormer: as,

Self-love, the fpring of motion, acts the foul; Reason's comparing balance, rules the whole: Man, but for that no action could attend; And, but for this, were active to no end."

Pope, Essay on Man. "Some place the bliss in action, some in ease:

Those call it pleasure, and contentment these."

Ibid.

The relative is often understood, or omitted: as, "The man I love;" that is, "whom I love." [1]

The

Yet thou couldn't tamely fee me flain: Nor when I felt the dreadful blow. Or chid the dean, or pinch'd thy spouse.'

Swift, Market-hill Thorn.

See above p. 46, Note.

[1] ' Abuse on all he lov'd, or lov'd him, spread.'

Pope, Epift. to Arbuthnot. That is, 'all whom he lov'd, or who lov'd him:' or to make it more eafy by supplying a relative, that has no variation of cases, 'all that he lov'd, or that lov'd him.' The construction is hazardous, and hardly justifiable, even in poetry. 'In the temper of mind he was then.' Addison, Spect. No 549. 'In the possure Ilay.' Swift, Gulliver, Part I. chap. 1. In these and the like phrases, which are very common, there is an elipsis both of the relative and the preposition; which would have been much better supplied: 'In the temper of mind in which he was then:' 'In the possure in which is to be found in most of the systems of divinity (which) I have met with, made me betake myself to the sole reading of the Scripture, (to which they all appeal) for the understanding (of) the Christian religion.' Locke, Presace to the Reasenableness of Christianity. In the following example, the antecedent is omitted: 'He desired they might go to the altar together, and jointly return their thanks to whom only it was due.' Addison, Frecholder, No. 49. In general, the omission of the

The accuracy and clearness of the sentence depend very much upon the proper and determinate use of the relative; so that it may readily present its antecedent to the mind of the hearer, or reader, without any obscurity or ambiguity. The same may be observed of the pronoun and the noun; which by some are called also the relative and the antecedent. [2]

Conjunctions

6 Men

relative seems to be too much indulged in the familiar style; it is ungraceful in the solemn; and, of whatever kind the style be, it is apt to be attended with obscurity and ambiguity.

[2] The connective parts of fentences are the most important of all, and require the greatest care and attention: for it is by these chiefly, that the train of thought, the course of reasoning, and the whole progress of the mind, in continued discourse of all kinds, is laid open; and on the right use of these, the perspicuity, that is, the sirst and greatest beauty of style, principally depends. Relatives and conjunctions, are the instruments of connection in discourse: It may be of use to point out some of the most common inaccuracies, that writers are apt to fall into with respect to them, and a sew examples of saults, may perhaps be more instructive, than any rules of propriety that can be given. Here therefore shall be added some surther examples of inaccuracies in the use of relatives.

The relative placed before the antecedent; Example: 'The bodies, which we daily handle, makes us perceive, that whilft they remain between them, they do by an unfurmountable force hinder the approach of our bands that press them.' Locke, Essay, B. ii. C. 4, Sect. 1. Here the sense it suspended, and the sense is unintelligible, till you get to the end of it: there is no antecedent, to which the relative them can be referred, but bodies; but, 'whilst the bodies remain between the bodies,' makes no sense helping out the construction. Yet there still remains an ambiguity in the relatives they, them, which in number and person, are equally applicable to bodies or bands; this, though it may not here be the occasion of much obscurity, which is commonly the effect of it, yet is always disagreeable and inelegant; as in the following examples:

Conjunctions have fometimes a government of modes. Some conjunctions require the indicative, fome the subjunctive mode after them: others have no influence at all upon the mode.

Hypothetical, conditional, concessive, and exceptive conjunctions seem in general to require the subjunctive

'Men look with an evil eye, upon the good that is in others; and think, that their reputation obscures them; and that their commendable qualities do stand in their light, and therefore they do what they can to cast a cloud over them, that the bright shinings of their virtues, may not obscure them.' Tillotson, Serm. I. 42.

The Earl of Falmouth and Mr. Coventry, were rivals who should have most influence with the Duke, who loved the Earl's best, but thought the other the wifer man, who supported Pen; who disobliged all the courtiers, even against the Earl, who contemned Pen, as a fellow of no sense.' Clarendon, Cont. p.

264.

But the following fentence cannot be possibly understood, without a careful recollection of circumstances, through some

piges preceding.

\*All which, with the King's and Queen's fo ample promifes to bim (the Treasurer) fo few hours before the conferring the place on another, and the Duke of York's manner of receiving bim (the Treasurer) after be (the Chancellor) had been shut up with bim, (the Duke) as be (the Treasurer) was informed, might very well excuse bim (the Treasurer) for thinking be (the Chancellor) had some share in the affront be (the Treasurer) had undergone. Clarendon, Cont. p. 296.

"Breaking a constitution by the very fame errors, that so many have been broke before." Swift, Contests and Diffentions, &c. chap. 5. Here the relative is employed not only to represent the antecedent noun the errors, but likewise the preposition by prefixed to it. It ought to be, 'the same errors by which so

many have been broken before.

Again: '—— An undertaking, which, although it has failed, (partly, &c. and partly, &c.) is no objection at all, to an enterprize fo well concerted, and with fuch fair probability of fuccefs.' Swift, Conduct of the Allies. That is, 'Which undertaking, is no objection to an enterprize fo well concerted;' that is, 'to itself;' he means, 'the failure or mifearriage of which, is no objection at all to it.'

fubjunctive mode after them: as, if, though, unlefs, except, whether, or, &c. but by use they often admit of the indicative; and in some cases with propriety. Examples: "If thou be the Son of God." Matth. iv. 3. "Though he flay me, yet will I put my trust in him." Job, xiii. 15. "Unless he wash his slesh." Lev. xxii. 6. No power, except it were given from above." John, xix. It. "Whether it were I or they, so we preach." I Cor. xv. 11. The subjunctive in these instances implies something contingent or doubtful; the indicative would express a more absolute and determinate sense. [3]

That,

[3] The following example may ferve to illustrate this observation: 'Though he were divinely inspired, and spake therefore, as the oracles of God, with supreme authority; though he were endued with supernatural powers, and could therefore have confirmed the truth of what he uttered by miracles; yet in compliance with the way in which human nature and reasonable creatures are usually wrought upon, he reasoned.' Atterbury, Ser-

mon IV. 5.

That our Saviour was divinely inspired, and endued with supernatural powers, are positions, that are here taken for granted, as not admitting of the least doubt; they would therefore have been better expressed in the indicative mode; though he was divinely inspired; though he was endowed with supernatural powers.' The subjunctive is used in like manner in the following example: 'Though he were a son, yet learned he obedience, by the things which he suffered.' Heb. v. 3. But in a similar passage the indicative is employed to the same purpose, and that much more properly: 'Though he was rich, yet for your sakes he became poor.' 2 Cor. viii. 9. The proper use then of the subjunctive mode after the conjunction, is in the case of a doubtful supposition or concession; as, 'Though he fall, he shall not be utterly cast down.' Psal. xxxvii. 24. And much the same may be said of the rest.

The fame conjunction governing both the indicative, and the fubjunctive mode in the fame fentence, and in the fame circum-

That, expressing the motive or end, has the Subjunctive mode with may, might, should, after it.

Left; and that annexed to a command preceding; and if with but following it; necessarily require the subjunctive mode; Examples: " Let him that standeth, take heed, lest he fall." I Cor. x. 12. Take heed, that thou speak not to Jacob." Gen. xxxi. 24. " If he do but touch the hills, they shall smoke." Psal. civ. 32. [4]

Other conjunctions, expressing a continuation, an addition, an inference, &c. being of a positive and absolute nature, require the indicative mode; or rather leave the mode to be determined by the other circumstances and conditions of the sentence.

When the qualities of different things are compared; the latter noun is not governed by the conjunction than, or as, (for a conjunction has

no.

stances, though either of them separately would be right, seems ftances, though ettances to be a great impropriety; as, 'Though heaven's king

Ride on thy wings, and thou with thy compeers, Us'd to the yoke, draw'df his triumphant wheels In progress through the road of heav'n star pav'd.'

Milton, P. L. IV. 973. ' If there be but one body of legislators, it is no better than a tyranny; if there are only two, there will want a casting voice'. Addison, Spect. No. 287.

[4] In the following instances, the conjunction that, expressed or understood, feems to be improperly accompanied with the fubjunctive mode.

. So much the fears for William's life. Prior. That Mary's fate the dare not mourn.' ' Her eyes in heaven,

Would through the airy region stream so bright, The birds would fing, and think it were not night.'

Shakefpear, Romeo and Juliet.

no government of cases,) but agrees with the verb, or is governed by the verb, or the preposition expressed, or understood, As, "Thou art wifer than I (am)." "You are not fo tall as I (am)." "You think him handsomer than (you think) me; and love him more than (you love) me." In all other instances, if you complete the sentence in like manner, by supplying the part which is understood; the case of the latter noun will be determined thus. "Plato observes, that God geometrizes; and the fame thing was observed before by a wifer man than he:" that is, than he was. "It was well expressed by Plato; but more elegantly by Solomon than him:" that is, than by bim. [5]

But

[5] 'You are a much greater lofer than me by his death.' Swift to Pope, Letter 63.

And though by heav'n's fevere decree. She fuffers hourly more than me.' Swift, to Stella.

We contributed a third more than the Dutch, who were obliged to the same proportion more than us.

Swift, Conduct of the Allies.

' King Charles. and more than bim, the Duke, and the Popish faction, were at liberty to form new schemes.' Bolingbroke, Difsertation on Parties, Letter 3.

' The drift of all his fermons was, to prepare the Jews for the reception of a prophet, mightier than bim, and whose shoes he

was not worthy to bear. Atterbury, Sermons, IV. 4

'A poem, which is good in itself, cannot lose any thing of its real value; though it should appear not to be the work of so eminent an author, as bim, to whom it was first imputed.' Congreve, Pref. to Homer's Hymn to Venus.

A stone is heavy, and the sand weighty: but a fool's wrath is heavier than them both 'Prov xxvii. 3.
If the king gives us leave, you or I may as lawfully preach, as them that do. Hobbes, Hist. of Civil Wars, p. 62.

But the relative who, having reference to no verb or preposition understood, but only to its antecedent, when it follows than, is always in the objective case; even though the pronoun, if substituted in its place, would be in the nominative: as

"Beelzebub, than whom,

Satan except, none higher fat."

Milton, P. L. ii. 299.

which, if we fublitute the pronoun, would be, "none higher fat, than be."

The conjunction that is often omitted and understood: as, "I beg you would come to me:"

See,

The fun upon the calmest sea
 Appears not half so bright as thee.'
 Then finish, dear Chloe, this pastoral war,
 And let us like Horace and Lydia agree:

For thou art a girl much brighter than ber,

As he was a poet fublimer than me.'

Phalaris, who was so much older than ber.' Bentley, Dissert.

on Phalaris, p. 537.

In these passes it ought to be, I, we, be, they, thou, see, respectively. Perhaps the following example may admit of a doubt,

whether it be properly expressed or not :

'The lover got a woman of greater fortune, than ber he had miss'd.' Addison, Guardian, No. 97. Let us try it by the rule given above; and see, whether some correction will not be necessary, when the parts of the sentence, which are understood, come to be supplied: 'The lover got a woman of greater fortune, than she (was, whem) he had missed.'

Nor hope to be less miserable

By what I feek, but others to make fuch
As I. Milton, P. L. ix, 126.

'The fyntax, fays Dr. Bentley, requires, 'make fuch as me.' On the contrary, the fyntax necessarily requires, 'make such as I.' for it is not, 'I hope to make others such, as to make me.' the pronoun is not governed by the verb make, but is the nominative case to the verb am understood: 'to make others such as I am:'

See, thou do it not?" that is, that you would?" he that thou do?" [6]

The nominative case following the auxiliary, or the verb itself, sometimes supplies the place of the conjunction if, or though: as, "Had he done this, he had escaped:" "Charm he never so [7] wisely:" that is, "if he had done this; though he charm."

Some conjunctions have their correspondent conjunctions belonging to them; so that, in the subsequent member of the sentence, the latter answers to the former: as, although—, yet, or nevertheless, whether—, or; either—or; neither, or nor—, nor, as—, as; expressing a comparison of equality; "as white as snow: as—, so; expressing a comparison of equality; "as the stars, so shall thy seed be;" that is, equal in number: but most commonly a comparison in respect of quality: "and it shall be, as with the people, so with the priess; as with the fervant, so with his master:" "as is the good, so is the sinner; as the one dieth, so dieth the other:" that is, in like manner: so—, as; with a verb expression

<sup>[6] &#</sup>x27;But it is reason, the memory of their virtues remain to their posterity.' Bacon, Essay xiv. In this, and many the like phrases, the conjunction were much better inserted: 'that the memory,' &c.

<sup>[7]</sup> Never fo — This phrase, says Mr. Johnson, is justly accused of solecism. It should be, ever so wisely; that is, bow wisely foever. 'Besides, a slave would not have been admitted into that society, had he had never fuch opportunities.' Bentley, Distert, on Phalaris, p. 338.

pressing a comparison of quality; " To see thy glory, so as I have feen thee in the fanctuary:" but with a negative and an adjective, a comparifon in respect of quantity; as, " Pompey had eminent abilities: but he was neither fo eloquent and polite a statesman, nor so brave and skilful a general; nor was he upon the whole fo great a man, as Cæfar:" fo-, that; expressing a confequence; &cc (8).

INTERJECTIONS

[8] I have been the more particular in noting the proper uses of these conjunctions; because they occur very frequently, and, as it was observed before of connective words in general, are of great importance with respect to the clearness and beauty of style. I may add too, because mistakes in the use of them are very com-

mon; as it will appear by the following examples.

The distributive conjunction either is sometimes improperly used alone, instead of the simple disjunctive or: 'Can the fig-tree bear olive berries? either a vine, figs?' James, iii. 12. 'Why beholdest thou the mote that is in thy brother's eye? but perceivest not the beam that is in thine own eye? Either how canst thou fay to thy brother, Brother, let me pull out the mote that is in thine eye; when thou thyself beholdest not the beam that is thine own eye?' Luke, vi. 41, 42. See also chap, xv. 8, and Phil. iii. 12.

Neither is fometimes supposed to be included in its correspond-

' Simois nor Xanthus shall be wanting there.' 'That all the application he could make, nor the King's own interpolition, could prevail with her Majesty.' Clarendon, Hist. vol. III. p. 179. Sometimes to be supplied by a subsequent negative: 'His rule holdeth still, that nature, nor the engagement of words, are not fo forcible as custom.' Bacon, Esfay xxxix. 'The King nor the Queen were not at all deceived.' Clarendon, vol. 11." p. 363. These forms of expression seem both of them equally improper.

or is sometimes used instead of nor, after neither: 'This is another use, that, in my opinion, contributes rather to make a man learned than wife, and is neither capable of pleafing the under-flauding, or imagination.' Additon, Dial. I. on Medals.

Neither

INTERIECTIONS in English, have no government.

Though

Neither for nor s . Neither in this world, neither in the world

to come,' Mat. xii. 32.
So \_\_\_\_, as, was used by the writers of the last century, to express a consequence, instead of So \_\_\_\_, ibat: Examples; 'And the third part of the stars was fmitten: fo as (that) the third part of them was darkened.' Rev. viii. 12. "The relations are so uncertain, as (that) they require a great deal of examinacion." Bacon, Nat. Hift. 'So (as that) it is a hard calumny to affirm...' Temple, 'So as (that) his thoughts might be feen.' Bentley, Differt. on Æsop's Fables, Sect. vi. was femething fo amiable, and yet fo piercing in his looks, as (that it) inspired me at once with love and terror.' Addison, Spect. No. 63. 'This computation being fo easy and trivial, as (that) it is a fhame to mention it.' Swift, Conduct of the Al-Hes. 'That the Spaniards were fo violently affected to the House of Austria, as (that) the whole kingdom would revolt.' Ibid. Swift, I believe, is the last of our good writers, who has frequently used this manner of expression : it feems improper, and is defervedly grown obfolete.

As instead of that, in another manner; 'If a man have that penetration of judgment, as (that) he can differn what things are to be laid open.' Bacon, Effay vi. 'It is the nature of extreme felf-lovers, as (that) they will fet an house on fire, and it were but to roast their eggs.' Id Essay xxiii. 'They would have given him fuch fatisfaction in other particulars, as (that) a full and happy peace must have ensued. Clarendon, Vol. III.

P. 214.

· I gain'd a fon ; And fuch a fon, as all men hail'd me happy.'

Milton, Samf. Ag.

We should sufficiently weigh the objects of our hope; when ther they be fuch, as (that) we may reasonably expect from them what they propose in their fruition, and whether they are such, as we are pretty fure of attaining.' Addison, Spect. No. 535. France was then disposed to conclude a peace upon such conditions, as (that) it was not worth the life of a granadier to refuse them.' Swift, Four last year's of the Queen, B. ii.

As instead of the relative that, who or which; " An it had not been for a civil gentleman, as (who) came by -. " Sir J. Wittoll, in Congreve's Old Bachelor. 'The Dake had not behaved with that loyalty, as (with which) he ought to have done.' Claren-

Though they are usually attended with nouns in

don, Vol. II. p. 460 '-With those thoughts as (which) might contribute to their honor' Ibid. p. 565. 'In the order, as they lie in his preface.' Middleton, Works, Vol. III. p. 8. It ought to be, either, 'in order, as they lie;' or, 'in the order in rubich they lie.' 'Securing to yourselves a succession of able and worthy men, as (which or who) may adorn this place.' Atterbury, Sermons, IV. 12.

The relative that inflead of as: 'Such fharp replies that (as) cost him his life in a few months after.' Clarendon, Vol. III. p. 179. And instead of fuch :- 'If he was truly that (fuch a) scare crow, as he is now commonly painted. But I wish I could do that (fuch) justice to the memory of our Phrygian, (as) to oblige the painters to change their pencil.' Bentley, Differt. on

Æfop's Fables, Sect. x.

The relative rubo --- , instead of as: 'There was no man, fo fanguine, who did not apprehend fome ill confequence from the late change.' Swift, Examiner, No 24. It ought to be, either, 'so fanguine, as not to apprehend-' or, 'There was no man, how

Sanguine foever, who did not apprehend.'

As improperly omitted: 'Chaucer followed nature every where; but was never so bold (as) to go beyond her.' Dryden, Preface to Fables. 'Which no body prefumes, or is fo fanguine (as) to hope.' Swift, Drap. Let, v. 'They are fo bold (as) to pronounce.' Swift, Tale of a Tub, Sect. vii. 'That the difcourfing on politics shall be looked upon as (as) dull as talking on

the weather. Addison, Freeholder, No 38.

The conjunction but instead of than: 'To trust in Christ is no more but to acknowledge him for God.' Hobbes, Human Nature, chap. xi. 11. 'They will concern the female fex only, and import no more but that subjection, they should ordinarily be in, to their hufbands.' Locke. 'The full moon was no sooner up. and shining in all its brightness, but he privately opened the gate

of paradife. Add fon, Guardian, No. 167.

Too \_\_\_\_\_, that, improperly used as correspondent conjunctions: Whose characters are too profligate, that the managing of them should be of any confequence.' Swift, Examiner, No. 24. And, too -, than: 'You that are a step higher than a Philofigher, a divine; yet have too much grace and wit than to be a bishop.' Pope, to Swift, Letter 80. So but: 'If the apa pointing and apportioning of penalties to crimes be not fo properly a consideration of justice, but rather (as) of prudence in the law-giver.' Tilletion, Serm. I. 35. And to conclude with an example, in which, whatever may be thought of the accuracy of

in the nominative case, [9] and verbs in the indicative mode; yet the case and mode is not influenced by them, but determined by the nature of the sentence.

#### L 2:

the expression, the justiness of the observation will be acknowledged; which may serve also as an apology for this and many of the preceding notes: 'No errors are so trivial, but they deserve to be mended.' Pope to Steele, Letter 9.

[9] 'Ah me!' feems to be a phrase of the same nature with' Wo is me!' fer the resolution of which see above, p. 98. note.

PUNCTUATION!

### PUNCTUATION.

UNCTUATION is the art of marking in writing the feveral paufes, or refts, between fentences, and the parts of fentences, according to their proper quantity or proportion, as they are expressed in a just and accurate pronunciation.

As the feveral articulate founds, the fyllables and words, of which fentences confift, are marked by letters; fo the rests and pauses, between sentences and their parts, are marked by points.

But, though the feveral articulate founds are pretty fully and exactly marked by Letters of known and determinate power; yet the feveral paufes, which are used in a just pronunciation of discourse, are very impersectly expressed by points.

For the different degrees of connexion between the feveral parts of fentences, and the different causes in a just pronunciation, which express those degrees of connection according to their proper value, admit of great variety; but the whole number of points, which we have to express this variety, amounts only to four.

Hence it is, that we are under a necessity of expressing pauses of the same quantity, on different occasions, by different points; and more frequently, of expressing pauses of different quantity by the same points.

So that the doctrine of punctuation must needs be very impersect: few precise rules can be given, which which will hold without exception in all cases; but much must be left to the judgment and taste of the writer.

On the other hand, if a greater number of marks were invented to express all the possible different pauses of pronunciation; the doctrine of them would be very perplexed and difficult, and the use of them, would rather embarrass than affist the reader.

It remains therefore, that we be content with the rules of punctuation, laid down with as much exactness, as the nature of the subject will admit: such as may serve for a general direction, to be accommodated to different occasions; and to be supplied, where deficient, by the writer's judgment.

The feveral degrees of connection between fentences, and between their principal conftructive parts, rhetoricians have confidered under the following diffinctions, as the most obvious and remarkable: the Period, Colon, Semicolon, and Comma.

The period is the whole fentence complete in itself, wanting nothing to make a full and perfect sense, and not connected in construction with a subsequent sentence.

The colon or member, is a chief constructive part, or greater division of a sentence.

The femicolon or half member, is a less constructive part or subdivision, of a sentence or member. A A fentence or member is again subdivided into commas or fegments; which are the least confiructive sense of a sentence or member, in this way of considering it; for the next subdivision would be the resolution of it into phrases and words.

The grammarians have followed this division of the rhetoricians, and have appropriated to each of these distinctions its mark, or point; which takes its name from the part of the sentence, which it is employed to distinguish; as follows:

The Period
The Colon
The Semicolon
The Comma

is thus marked

;

The proportional quantity or time of the points, with respect to one another, is determined by the following general rule: The Period is a pause in quantity or duration double of the colon; the colon is double of the semicolon; and the semicolon is double of the comma. So that they are in the same proportion to one another, as the semibreve, the minim, and the crotchet, and the quaver, in music. The precise quantity, or duration, of each pause or note cannot be defined; for that varies with the time; and both in discourse and music, the same composition may be rehearsed in a quicker or a slower time; but in music the proportion between the notes remains ever the same; and in discourse, if the doctrine of punc-

tuation

tuation were exact, the proportion between the paufes would be ever invariable.

The points being then defigned to express the pauses, which depend on the different degrees of connection between sentences, and between their principal constructive parts; in order to understand the meaning of the points, and to know how to apply them properly, we must consider the nature of the sentence, as divided into its principal constructive parts; and the degrees of connection between those parts, upon which such division of it depends.

To begin with the least of these principal constructive parts, the Comma. In order the more clearly to determine the proper application of the point which marks it, we must distinguish between an impersect phrase, a simple sentence, and a compounded sentence.

An imperfect phrase contains no affertion, or does not amount to a proposition or sentence.

A simple sentence has but one subject, and one sinite verb.

A compounded fentence has more than one subject, or one finite verb, either expressed or understood; or it consists of two or more simple sentences connected together.

In a fentence, the subject and the verb may be each of them accompanied with several adjuncts; as the object, the end, the circumstances of time, place, and manner, and the like: and this, either immediately

immediately or mediately; that is, by being connected with fomething which is connected with fome other; and so on.

If the several adjuncts affect the subject or the verb in a different manner, they are only so many impersect phrases; and the sentence is simple.

A simple sentence admits of no point by which it may be divided, or distinguished into parts.

If the feveral adjuncts affect the subject or verbin the same manner, they may be resolved into somany simple sentences; the sentence then becomes compounded, and it must be divided into its parts by points.

For if there are several subjects belonging in the same manner to one verb, or several verbs belonging in the same manner to one subject, the subjects and verbs, are still to be accounted equal in number: for every verb must have its subject and every subject its verb; and every one of the subjects or verbs, should or may have its point of distinction.

#### EXAMPLES.

"The passion for praise produces excellent effects in women of sense." Addison, Spect. No. 73. In this sentence passion is the subject, and produces the verb: each of which is accompanied and connected with its adjuncts. The subject is not passion in general, but a particular passion determined by its adjunct of specification, as we may call it, the passion for praise. So likewise the verb is immediately connected with its object,

intervention of the word effects, with women, the subject in which these effects are produced; which again is connected with its adjunct of specification; for it is not meant of women in general, but of women of sense only. Lastly, it is to be observed, that the verb is connected with each of these several adjuncts in a different manner: namely, with effects, as the object; with women, as the subject of them; with sense, as the quality or characteristic of those women. The adjuncts therefore are only so many imperfect phrases; the sentence is a simple sentence, and admits of no point, by which it may be distinguished into parts.

"The passion for praise, which is so very vehement in the fair sex, produces excellent effects in women of sense." Here a new verb is introduced, accompanied with adjuncts of its own; and the subject is repeated by the relative pronounwhich. It now becomes a compounded sentence, made up of two simple sentences, one of which is inserted in the middle of the other; it must therefore be distinguished into its component parts by a point placed on each side of the additional sentence.

"How many instances have we [in the fair fex] of chastity, sidelity, devotion? How many ladies distinguish themselves by the education of their children, care of their family, and love of

their husbands: which are the great qualities and atchievements of womankind: as the making of war, the carrying on of traffic, the administration of justice, are those by which men grow famous, and get themselves a name.

Ibid.

In the first of these two sentences, the adjuncts chastity, sidelity, devotion, are connected with the verb by the word instances in the same manner, and in essect make so many distinct sentences: "How many instances have we of chastity? How many instances have we of sidelity? How many instances have we of sidelity? How many instances have we of sidelity? How many instances have we of devotion?" They must therefore be separated from one another by a point. The same may be said of the adjuncts, "education of their children, &c." in the former part of the next sentence: as likewise of the several subjects, "the making of war, &c." in the latter part, which have in essect each their verb; for each of these "is an atchievement by which men grow samous."

As fentences themselves are divided into simple and compounded, so the members of sentences may be divided likewise into simple and compounded members: for whole sentences, whether simple or compounded, may become members of other sentences, by means of some additional connection.

Simple members of fentences closely connected together in one compounded member or fentence,

are distinguished or separated by a comma, as in

the foregoing examples.

So likewife, the cafe absolute; nouns in oppofition, when confisting of many terms; the participle with something depending on it; are to be distinguished by the comma, for they may be resolved into simple members.

When an address is made to a person, the noun, answering to the vocative case in Latin, is

distinguished by a comma.

#### EXAMPLES.

"This faid, he form'd thee, Adam; thee, O man,

Dust of the ground."

" Now morn, her rosy steps in th' eastern clime, Advancing, sow'd the earth with orient pearl."

Milton.

Two nouns, or two adjectives, connected by a fingle copulative or disjunctive, are not separated by a point: but when there are more than two, or where the conjunction is understood, they must

be distinguished by a comma.

Simple members connected by relatives, and comparatives, are for the most part distinguished by a comma, but when the members are short, in comparative sentences; and when two members are closely connected by a relative restraining the general notion of the antecedent to a particular sense; the pause becomes almost insensible, and the comma is better omitted.

#### EXAMPLES.

"Raptures, transports, and extasses, are the rewards which they confer: sighs and tears, prayers and broken hearts, are the offerings which are paid to them."

Addison, Ibid.

Gods partial, changeful, passionate, unjust;

Whose attributes were rage, revenge, or lust."

Pope.

What is fweeter than honey? and what is stronger than a lion?

A circumstance of importance, though no more than an imperfect phrase, may be set off with a comma on each side, to give it greater force and distinction.

## EXAMPLE.

"The principal may be defective or faulty: but the consequences it produces are so good, that, for the benefit of mankind, it ought not to be extinguished." Addison, Ibid.

A member of a fentence, whether simple or compounded, that requires a greater pause than a comma, yet does not of itself make a complete fentence, but is followed by something closely depending on it, may be distinguished by a semicolon.

"But as this passion for admiration, when it works according to reason, improves the beautiful part of our species in every thing that is laudable; so nothing is more destructive to them, when it is governed by vanity and folly." Addison, Ibid.

Here

Here the whole sentence is divided into two parts by the semicolon; each of which parts is a compounded member, divided into its simple

members by the comma.

A member of a fentence, whether simple or compounded, which of itself would make a complete sentence, and so requires a greater pause than a semicolon, yet is followed by an additional part, making a more sull and perfect sense, may be distinguished by a colon.

EXAMPLE.

"Were all books reduced to their quintessence many a bulky author would make his appearance in a penny paper: there would be scarce any such thing in nature as a solio: the works of an age would be contained on a few shelves: not to mention millions of volumes, that would be utterly annihilated." Addison, Spect. No. 124.

Here the whole fentence is divided into four parts by colons: the first and last of which are compounded members, each divided by a comma; the second and third are simple members.

When a femicolon has preceded, and a greater paufe is still necessary, a colon may be employed,

though the sentence be incomplete.

The colon is also commonly used, when an

example, or a speech is introduced.

When a fentence is so far perfectly sinished as not to be connected in construction with the following sentence, it is marked with a period.

In all cases, the proportion of the several points in respect to one another, is rather to be regarded, than their supposed precise quantity, or proper office, when taken separately.

Beside the points, which mark the pauses in discourse, there are others which denote a different modulation of the voice in correspondence with

the fense. These are

The interrogation point,
The exclamation point,
The parenthesis,

thus
marked
!
()

The interrogation and exclamation points are fufficiently explained by their names: they are indeterminate as to their quantity or time, and may be equivalent in that respect to a semicolon, a colon or a period, as the sense requires. They mark an elevation of the voice.

The parenthesis incloses in the body of a fentence a member inserted into it, which is neither necessary to the sense, nor at all affects the construction. It makes a moderate depression of the voice, with a pause greater than a comma.

### A PRAXIS;

# Or, Example of Grammatical Resolution.

1. IN the fifteenth year of the reign of Tiberius Cæfar, Pontius Pilate being governor of Judea, the word of God came unto John, the fon of Zacharias, in the wilderness.

2. And he came into all the country about Jordan, preaching the Baptism of repentance for the remission of sins.

3. And the same John had his raiment of camel's hair, and a leathern girdle about his loins; and his meat was locusts and wild honey.

4. Then faid he to the multitude, that came forth to be baptized of him: O generation of vipers, who hath warned you to flee from the wrath to come? Bring forth therefore fruits meet for repentance.

5. And as all men mused in their hearts of John, whether he were the Christ, or not; John answered, saying unto them all: I indeed baptize you with water; but one mightier than I cometh, the latchet of whose shoes I am not worthy to unloose: he shall baptize you with the Holy Ghost and with fire.

6. Now when all the people were baptized, it came to pass, that, Jesus also being baptized and praying, the heaven was opened, and the Holy Ghost descended in a bodily shape, like a dove,

M 2 upon

upon him; and lo! a voice from heaven faying: This is my beloved fon, in whom I am well pleafed.

- 1. In is a preposition; the, the definite article; fifteenth, an adjective; year, a substantive, or noun, in the objective case, governed by the preposition in; of, a preposition; the reign, a substantive, objective case, governed by the preposition of; of Tiberius Casar, both substantives, proper names, government and case as before; Pontius Pilate, proper names; being, the present participle of the verb neuter to be; governor, a fubstantive; of Judea, a proper name, government and case as before: Pontius Pilate being governor, is the case absolute; that is, the nominative case with a participle without a verb following and agreeing with it; the meaning is the fame as, when Pilate was governor: the word, a fubstantive; of God, a substantive; came, a verb neuter, indicative mode, past time, third person singular number, agreeing with the nominative case word; unto a preposition; John, a proper name; the son, a substantive, put in apposition to John; that is, in the fame case, governed by the same preposition unto; of Zacharias, a proper name; in, a prepofition; the wilderness, a substantive, government and case as before.
- 2. And, a conjunction copulative; he, a pronoun, third perfon fingular, masculine gender, nominative case, standing for John came, as before into,

into, a preposition; all, an adjective; the country, a substantive; about, a preposition; Jordan, a proper name; preaching, the present participle of the verb active to preach, joined like an adjective to the pronoun he; the baptism, a substantive in the objective case, following the verb active preaching, and governed by it: of repentance, a substantive and case as before; for, a prepethe remission of sins, substantives, the latter in the plural number, government and case as before.

- 3. And, (b. that is, as before) the same, an adjective; John (b) had, a verb active, indicative mode, past time, third person singular, agreeing with the nominative case John; bis, a pronoun, third person singular, possessive case; raiment, a fubstantive in the objective case, following the verb active had, and governed by it; of camel's, a fubstantive, possessive case; bair, substantive, objective case, governed by the preposition of, the fame as, of the hair of a camel; and, (b) a the indefinite article; leathern, an adj. girdle, a fubst. about (b) his (b) loins, subst. plural number; and bis, (b) meat, fubit. was, indicative mode, past time, third person singular of the verb neuter to be locusts, subst. plural number, nominative case after the verb was; and, (b) wild, adjective; honey, fubft.
- 4. Then, an adverb; faid, a verb active, past time, third person singular, agreeing with the nominative case he, (b.) to, a prep. the multitude, subst.

fubst. objective case, governed by the prep. to: that, a relative pronoun; its antecedent is the multitude; came, (b.) forth, an adverb; to, a prep. and before a verb, the fign of the infinitive mode. be baptized, a verb passive, made of the participle passive of the verb to baptize, and the auxiliary verb to be, in the infinitive mode; of him, pronoun, third person singular, standing for John in the objective case governed by the preposition of; O, an interjection; generation, substantive, nominative case; of vipers subst. plural number; who, an interrogative pronoun: hath warned, a verb active, present perfect time, made of the perfect participle warned, and the auxiliary verb hath, third person singular, agreeing with the nominative case; who, you, pronoun second perfon plaral, objective case, following the verb active warned, and governed by it; to flee, verb neuter, infinitive mode; from, a prep. the wrath, fub. objective cafe, governed by the prep. from : to come, verbneuter, infinitive mode; bring, verb active, imperative mode, second person plural, agreeing with the nominative case ye understood; as if it were, bring ye: forth an adverb; therefore, a conjunction; fruits, a fubst. plural, objective case, following the verb active bring, and governed by it; meet an adjective, joined to fruits, but placed after it, because it has something depending on it; for repentance,, a fubst. governed by a preposition, as before.

5. And, (b.) as, a conjunction; all, (b.) men, fubst. plural number; mufed, a verb neuter, past time, third person plural, agreeing with the nominative case men; in, (b.) their, a pronominal adjective, from the pronoun they; hearts, subst. plural number, objective case governed by the prep. in; of John, (b.) whether, a conjunction; he, (b.) were, subjunctive mode, governed by the conjunction whether, past time, third person sing of the verb to be, agreeing with the nominative case he; the Chrift, subst. nominative case after the verb were; or, a disjunctive conjunction, corresponding to the preceding conjunction whether; not, an adverb; John, (b.) answered, a verb neuter, indicative mode, past time, third person, sing. agreeing with the nominative case John; saying, present participle of the verb active to fay, joined to the substantive John; unto, (b.) them, a pronoun, third person plural, objective case, governed by the preposition unto; all, (b.) I, pronoun, first person singular; indeed, an adverb, baptize, a verb active, indicative mode, present time, first person singular, agreeing with the nominative case I; you, pronoun, second perfon plural, objective case, following the verb active baptize, and governed by it; with, a prep. water, subst. but a disjunctive conjunction; one, a pronoun, standing for some person not mentioned by name; mightier, an adjective in the comparative degree, from the positive mighty; than,

a conjunction, used after a comparative word; I, (b.) the verb am being understood; that is, than I am; cometh, a verb neuter, indicative mode, present time, third person sing, agreeing with the nominative case one; the latchet, subst. of, (b.) whose, pronoun relative, one being the antecedent to it, in the possessive case; shoes, subst. plural; 1, (b.) am, indicative mode, prefent time, first person sing. of the verb to be, agreeing with the nominative case, I; not, (b.) worthy, an adjective; to unloose, a verb active, in the infinitive mode, governing the substantive latchet, in the objective case; he, (b.) shall baptize, a verb active, indicative mode, future time, made by the auxiliary shall, third person sing, agreeing with the nominative case he; you, (b.) with the, (b) Haly, an adjective; Ghoft, a fubst. and with, (b.) fire, a fubstantive; this and the former both in the objective case governed by the prep. with the

6. Now, an adverb; when, a conjunction; all, (b.) the people, a fubit. were baptized, a verb paffive, made of the auxiliary verb to be joined with the participle passive of the verb to baptize, indicative mode, past time, third person plural, agreeing with the nominative case singular people, being a noun of multitude, it, pronoun, third person singular, neuter gender, nominative case; came, (b.) to pass, verb neuter, infinitive mode; that, a conjunction; Jesus, a proper name; also, an adverb; being, present participle of the verb to

be ; baptized, participle paffive of the verb to baptize; and, (b.) praying, present participle of the verb neuter to pray; Jefus being baptized and praying is the case absolute, as before; the beaven, fubstantive; was opened, verb passive, indicative mode, past time, third personal singular, agree. ing with the nominative case heaven, the auxiliary verb to be, being joined to the participle passive, as before; and the Holy Ghost, (b.) descended, verb neuter, indicative mode, past time, third person fingular, agreeing with the nominative case Ghost; in a, (b.) bodily, an adjective; shape, a substantive; like, an adjective; a dove, a substantive, objective case, the preposition to being understood, that is, like to a dove; upon, preposition; him, pronoun, third person singular, objective case governed by the preposition upon; and, (b.) lo, an interjection; a voice, substantive, nominative case, there was, being understood; that is, there was a voice : from, preposition ; Heaven, substantive, objective case; (b.) faying, (b.) this, a pronominal adjective, person being understood; is, indicative mode, present time, of the verb to be, third person singular, agreeing with the nominative case this; my, a pronominal adjective: beloved, an adjective; Son, a substantive, nominative case after the verb is; in, (b.) whom, pronoun relative, objective case governed by the preposition in, the fubstantive Son being its antecedent; I am, (b.) well, an adverb; pleased, the passive participle of the verb to please, making with the auxiliary verb am a passive verb, in the indicative mode, present time, first person singular, agreeing with the nominative case I.

in the second of the second of



Combist subficient (minute)

The or indicate of the company of the



PEHOR 1.85



